

Review article: fungal microbiota and digestive diseases

Z. K. Wang*, Y. S. Yang*, A. T. Stefka†, G. Sun* & L. H. Peng*

*Department of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Chinese PLA General Hospital, Chinese PLA Medical Academy, Beijing, China.

†Department of Pathology, The University of Chicago, Chicago, IL, USA.

Correspondence to:

Dr Y. S. Yang, Department of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Chinese PLA General Hospital, Chinese PLA Medical Academy, 28 Fuxing Road, Beijing 100853, China.
E-mail: sunny301ddc@126.com

Publication data

Submitted 6 August 2013
First decision 16 September 2013
Resubmitted 28 January 2014
Accepted 29 January 2014
EV Pub Online 24 February 2014

This commissioned review article was accepted for publication after full peer-review.

SUMMARY

Background

The role of the fungal microbiota in digestive diseases is poorly defined, but is becoming better understood due to advances in metagenomics.

Aim

To review the gastrointestinal fungal microbiota and its relationship with digestive diseases.

Methods

Search of the literature using PubMed and MEDLINE databases. Subject headings including 'fungal-bacterial interactions', 'mycotoxins', 'immunity to fungi', 'fungal infection', 'fungal microbiota', 'mycobiome' and 'digestive diseases' were used.

Results

The fungal microbiota is an integral part of the gastrointestinal microecosystem with up to 10^6 microorganisms per gram of faeces. Next-generation sequencing of the fungal 18S rRNA gene has allowed better characterisation of the gastrointestinal mycobiome. Numerous interactions between fungi and bacteria and the complex immune response to gastrointestinal commensal or pathogenic fungi all impact on the pathophysiology of inflammatory bowel disease and other gastrointestinal inflammatory entities such as peptic ulcers. Mycotoxins generated as fungal metabolites contribute to disturbances of gastrointestinal barrier and immune functions and are associated with chronic intestinal inflammatory conditions as well as hepatocellular and oesophagogastric cancer. Systemic and gastrointestinal disease can also lead to secondary fungal infections. Fungal genomic databases and methodologies need to be further developed and will allow a much better understanding of the diversity and function of the mycobiome in gastrointestinal inflammation, tumourigenesis, liver cirrhosis and transplantation, and its alteration as a consequence of antibiotic therapy and chemotherapy.

Conclusions

The fungal microbiota and its metabolites impact gastrointestinal function and contribute to the pathogenesis of digestive diseases. Further metagenomic analyses of the gastrointestinal mycobiome in health and disease is needed.

Aliment Pharmacol Ther 2014; **39**: 751–766

INTRODUCTION

The human gastrointestinal (GI) tract is known to contain a variable fungal microbiome, but the phylogenetic characteristics of GI fungal organisms and their specific role as part of the GI ecosystem have not yet been studied extensively. Advances in DNA high-throughput sequencing technology and bioinformatics analysis to date have been mainly aimed at the bacterial microbiome,^{1–3} but are now ready to be applied to the analysis of the fungal microbiome. Interactions between fungi and bacteria are common, complex and species dependent, and have dramatic effects on the growth and pathogenesis of microbes.⁴ Evidence from human epidemiological and animal studies implicates mycotoxins, generated as fungal metabolites, in GI and liver cancer development and in other chronic GI disorders.^{5–7} The GI microbiota engages in a dynamic interaction with the cells of the intestinal innate and adaptive immune system.^{8, 9} However, the host immune response to exogenous pathogenic fungi and to potentially harmful indigenous fungal microbiota in the human GI tract is not well understood.

In recent years, the incidence of invasive fungal infections (IFI) has experienced a dramatic increase globally,^{10, 11} possibly implying changes in either systemic or local immune responses. Previous studies have mainly focused on pathogenic fungi. Research on the composition and function of the commensal fungal microbiome in health and disease is in its early stages. Some evidence suggests a role for an imbalance between GI commensal fungal and bacterial microbiota or the invasion of host niches by pathogenic fungi or fungal metabolites in inflammatory bowel disease (IBD),¹² peptic ulcers,¹³ irritable bowel syndrome (IBS),¹⁴ antibiotic associated diarrhoea (AAD)¹⁵ and chemotherapy-induced enteric disorders,¹⁶ but the potential mechanisms still remain unclear. In this review, we highlight recent advances in this area.

NATURE/CLASSIFICATION OF FUNGI IN THE HUMAN GI TRACT

The human being is a superorganism constituted by the human body and microorganisms. A large number of microbes, including bacteria, archaea, fungi and viruses colonise the skin and mucosal surfaces of the human body. The mean weight of these microorganisms is about 1.5 kg, which is equivalent to that of the liver; the number can be up to 10^{12} – 10^{14} , which is 10 times the number of host cells; the number of microbiome genes is 150 times the number of human genes.² Decoding of the human genome without knowledge of the microbiome is

therefore far from sufficient for a comprehensive understanding of the biological function of the human body. Fungi as eukaryotic microorganism are widely distributed in nature. At present, more than 400 species of fungi associated with human beings have been identified. Previously, fungi have typically been viewed as pathogenic microbes, and their role as commensals has been underappreciated. It is now evident, however, the commensal fungal microbiota is an important part of the human GI ecosystem. Early studies based on culture-dependent methods reported that fungi could be detected in the digestive tract of 70% of healthy adults¹⁷ and that the number of fungi in the human stomach, jejunum, ileum and colon is 0 – 10^2 , 0 – 10^2 , 10^2 – 10^3 and 10^2 – 10^6 CFU/mL respectively¹⁷; most of these are aerobes or facultative anaerobes. Recently, DNA sequencing analysis is widely used for the classification and identification of fungal microbes of plants, animals and various natural environments.¹⁸ From currently available literature, only a few studies have been conducted on the human commensal fungal microbiota using culture-dependent methods or high-throughput DNA sequencing. Research on the fungal microbiome of the human oral cavity and skin is an area of intense investigation.^{19, 20}

Fungal microbiota in GI tract

It has previously been thought that, because the normal oesophagus cannot retain food contents, it is either sterile or contains only a few transient microbes from the oropharynx or stomach. However, recent studies based on culture-independent methods showed more diversity and complexity of residential bacterial microbiota in the oesophagus with the representation of nine phyla and up to 160 species.^{21, 22} The oesophageal bacterial community differs significantly between the healthy subjects and those with oesophageal disorders.^{22, 23} Furthermore, early culture-dependent studies confirmed that *Candida* sp. was the most common fungi in the normal oesophagus. *Candida* oesophagitis is very common in patients with impaired immunity,^{24, 25} but the diversity and function of the mycobiome in the oesophagus and its relationship with oesophageal disorders still remain unknown.

It was once believed that gastric acid could kill microbes entering the stomach and that the unique ecological environment of the stomach was not suitable for microbial colonisation or infection. However, several studies using culture-independent methods confirmed that large numbers of acid-resistant bacteria belonging to eight phyla and up to 120 species exist in the stomach,

such as *Streptococcus* sp., *Neisseria* sp. and *Lactobacillus* sp. etc.^{26, 27} Furthermore, *Candida albicans* can grow well in highly acidic environments,²⁸ and some genotypes may increase the severity of gastric mucosal lesions.²⁹ Recently, a study using bacterial and fungal DNA co-sequencing analysis obtained 19–81 fungal genus-level operational taxonomic units in gastric juice, but only identified two fungal genera including *Candida* and *Phialemonium*.³⁰ Nevertheless, it is still uncertain whether gastric fungi or bacteria other than *Helicobacter pylori* participate in the pathogenesis of gastric diseases (e.g. in ulcers and cancer, etc.). The real distributions of gastric microbes in various disorders remain to be confirmed, as the microflora in gastric mucosa and stomach contents have not yet been comprehensively studied.

Much less is known about the microbes in small intestine, particularly because collecting samples for such microbial ecology studies is much more challenging. Regardless, it is increasingly clear that the small intestinal microbiota play an important role in IBS, coeliac disease, small intestinal bacterial overgrowth and chemotherapy-induced mucositis. The gut microbiome of small intestinal transplant recipients is altered due to the influence of the donor's commensal bacterial microflora.³¹ Moreover, intestinal transplant recipients are often colonised with *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, *Kluyveromyces waltii*, *Candida* spp., *Cryptococcus neoformans*, *Fusarium oxysporum* and *Aspergillus clavatus*, etc.³²

While the diversity and function of the colonic bacterial microbiota have been broadly characterised, little is known about the mycobiome in human large intestine and faeces. Previous studies based on culture techniques confirmed that *Candida* spp. are the most common commensal fungi in the intestine. Recently, the metagenomic analysis of 124 individuals reported that only 0.1% of microbial genes in faeces came from eukaryotic or viral origin,² which was consistent with previous reports of fungi accounting for only 0.03% of the faecal microbiota.¹² *Candida* spp., *S. cerevisiae* and *Malassezia* spp., etc. were thought to be the predominant commensal fungal species while *Aspergillus* spp., *Mucor* spp., *Cryptococcus* spp., *Rhodotorula* spp., *Trichosporon* spp., *Histoplasma* spp., *Coccidioides* spp., *Paracoccidioides* spp. and *Blastomyces* spp. were classified as transient microbiota or exogenous pathogenic fungi. It is well recognised that the human intestine could be the largest source of fungaemia when systemic or local mucosal immune functions are disturbed.^{33, 34} Moreover, the intestinal mycobiome may contribute to the pathogenesis of several GI disorders, particularly IBD, which will be further elaborated in the following issues.

Influencing factors of GI fungal microbiota

The bacterial microbiota in the human GI tract is affected by age, body mass index, delivery and feeding methods, diet, ethnicity and geographical environments.^{35, 36} In addition, pH, oxygen, nutrition and bile acids in the GI tract can influence the composition and function of the microbiota.³⁷ As with the bacterial microflora, the GI mycobiome could also be affected by these environmental and physiological factors. For example, several fungal species contained in fermented foods and beverages can be also identified in the human GI tract, but whether they are truly indigenous needs to be elucidated. In addition, infants may not harbour any gut mycobiome, but their healthy mothers harbour various intestinal fungi like *Saccharomyces* spp. and *C. albicans*.³⁸ The intestinal fungal microbiota of an obese individual was investigated by fungal DNA sequencing, which identified 18 species from the *Ascomycota*, *Basidiomycota* and *Chytridiomycota* phyla, but several fungal species mainly originated from food.³⁹ Tobacco use is highly associated with the overgrowth of oral and faecal fungal microflora.^{40, 41} Furthermore, various iatrogenic factors such as chemotherapy and antibiotic administration could play an important role in the disruption of GI fungal microbiota, which will be discussed in the following issues.

METHODOLOGY

Traditional culture-dependent methods for fungal classification and identification include microscopy, growth on selective media and biochemical assays, which examine morphology, growth characteristics and physiological activity of fungi. However, the overall community structure and its spatial and dynamic properties cannot be fully captured using traditional methods due to the fact that most human-associated microorganisms are uncultured. Molecular techniques, highlighted by the complete sequencing of the *S. cerevisiae* genome and 18S rRNA profiling, will greatly improve our understanding of the human mycobiome.

Fungal phylogeny can be reconstructed from the molecular level, such as in the sequences of ribosomal RNA and other highly conserved genes.⁴² At present, the DNA sequencing based on the fungal 18S rDNA and internal transcribed spacer (ITS) has been widely applied in fungal microbiota.^{43, 44} 18S rDNA sequence has conserved and variable regions, where conserved areas reflect phylogenetic relationships among species, highly variable regions reflect the differences between species. 18S rDNA sequence can be applied to classify fungi at the species level and above. ITS includes ITS1, located between 18S

and 5.8S rDNA, and ITS2, located between 5.8S and 28S rDNA. The variability in ITS1-ITS2 is larger than 18S rDNA and can be used to identify fungi on the species or subspecies level. Co-sequencing of 18S rDNA and ITS may be more suitable for fungal classification. Sequencing results can be compared to existing databases for identification of species present. Moreover, metagenomic sequencing includes all fungal and bacterial genomes in environmental samples, so the co-sequencing of fungal 18S rDNA/ITS and bacterial 16S rDNA sequences may be the optimal and cost-effective method for the investigation of the biodiversity and function of the microbiota which exists in each part of the human body.^{30, 45}

FUNGAL MICROBIAL INTERACTIONS

Fungal–fungal interactions

Physical and molecular interactions occur among fungi themselves. For example, the morphological transformation between hypha and yeast forms can influence the adhesion and colonisation of *Candida* sp. in host cells as well as their virulence.⁴⁶ In addition, fatty acid metabolites have impacts on the morphological changes of *C. albicans*, as well as its pathogenicity.⁴⁷ Glycerol, the small molecular metabolite in biofilm cells of *C. albicans*, is critical for expression of numerous biofilm-regulated adhesion genes during *C. albicans* biofilm formation.⁴⁸

Fungal–bacterial interactions

Published studies on fungal–bacterial interactions to date mainly focus on interactions between *C. albicans* and several pathogenic bacteria in pulmonary, oral and dermatological diseases or in various catheter- and ventilator-related infections.⁴⁹ Some troublesome pathogenic bacteria in hospitals, such as *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Acinetobacter baumannii*, *Staphylococcus epidermidis*, etc. commonly co-inhabit with *C. albicans*.⁴⁹ Polymicrobial infections with *C. albicans* and these pathogens could cause a range of infectious syndromes. Moreover, mixed species biofilms develop through co-aggregation between *C. albicans* and several pathogenic bacteria on the surface of exogenous medical devices and some susceptible sites of the human body, which are thought to be important for the development of infectious disorders.⁵⁰ These fungal–bacterial interactions can be antagonistic, synergistic, commensal or symbiotic and influence physical and physiological characteristics such as the mutual morphology, behaviour and survival including response to anti-microbial agents.⁵¹ Known bacterial–fungal interactions are summarised in Table 1.^{52–69}

Quorum-sensing molecules are often the basis of the interaction between fungi and bacteria. For example, *C. albicans* can inhibit the virulence of *P. aeruginosa* through farnesol, while *P. aeruginosa* can affect the growth, morphology and virulence of *C. albicans* through the virulence factors pyocyanin and phenazines.^{70–72} Fungal morphology can also affect these interactions. For example, *P. aeruginosa* can form a biofilm on the surface of *C. albicans* hyphae and is lethal to them whereas it has no effect on the yeast form of *C. albicans*.⁵³ Moreover, colonisation of *C. albicans* in rat lungs prior to *Pseudomonas* spp. exposure may increase the incidence of *Pseudomonas*-related pneumonia, because *C. albicans* can inhibit the local host immune response by reducing the production of reactive oxygen species. *Candida albicans* and *Streptococcus* spp. can form a mixed biofilm with anti-microbial antagonism through the polysaccharides on the cell surface of *Streptococcus* spp.^{73, 74} In a simulated *in vivo* model, a synergistic effect between *C. albicans* and *Streptococci* increases the invasive ability of *C. albicans* and promotes biofilm formation by *Streptococcus* spp. in the oral and upper GI mucosa.⁷³ *Acinetobacter* sp. is one of the most common hospital-related pathogens associated with ventilator-associated pneumonia and catheter-related infections. The interactions between fungi and *Acinetobacter* spp. can be antagonistic (e.g. *C. albicans* and *A. baumannii*) or synergistic (e.g. *S. cerevisiae* and *A. baumannii*) (Table 1).^{55, 75} It needs to be noted that conclusions drawn from *in vivo* and *in vitro* studies and from mammalian and nonmammalian (e.g. *Caenorhabditis elegans*) models may not be entirely consistent, which could be due to the participation of the host immune system.

Fungal–microbial interactions in GI tract

Interactions among *C. albicans*, *Lactobacillus* spp., *H. pylori* and *Escherichia coli* in the GI tract have been investigated *in vivo* and *in vitro* (Table 1). For instance, the co-existence of *C. albicans* with *H. pylori* could represent synergy in the pathogenesis of peptic ulcers.⁷⁶ Furthermore, *Lactobacillus* spp. could inhibit the growth and virulence of *C. albicans* by the production of hydrogen peroxide and organic acids, but could not eradicate *C. albicans*.^{47, 61} Also, different *Lactobacillus* strains have different abilities in inhibiting the growth of *C. albicans* in the GI tract.⁷⁷ In addition, the effects of *Lactobacillus* spp. on host immune response may be involved in the modulation of fungal virulence.^{78, 79} In addition to these strain-specific interactions, interactions between fungi and the bacterial microbiota as a whole have also been

Table 1 | Characteristics of interactions between common fungal and bacterial species

Fungi	Bacteria	Characteristics
<i>Candida</i> sp. <i>C. albicans</i>	<i>Pseudomonas</i> sp. <i>P. aeruginosa</i>	<i>In vitro</i> , fungal QS molecule farnesol can inhibit the virulence of <i>P. aeruginosa</i> ⁵² <i>In vitro</i> , <i>P. aeruginosa</i> can form biofilm on <i>C. albicans</i> hyphae ⁵³ <i>In vitro</i> , bacterial phenazines and phospholipases can inhibit <i>C. albicans</i> growth and virulence ⁵³ <i>In vivo</i> , the inhibition of local immunity by <i>C. albicans</i> contributes to <i>Pseudomonas</i> sp. colonisation ⁵⁴ <i>In vivo</i> , the colonisation of <i>C. albicans</i> can increase the risk for <i>P. aeruginosa</i> -related pneumonia ⁵⁴
<i>Candida</i> sp. <i>C. albicans</i>	<i>Acinetobacter</i> sp. <i>A. baumannii</i>	<i>In C. elegans</i> model, <i>A. baumannii</i> can inhibit the hyphae and biofilm formation of <i>C. albicans</i> ⁵⁵ <i>In C. elegans</i> model, fungal farnesol can reduce the viability of <i>A. baumannii</i> ⁵⁵ <i>In vitro</i> , <i>A. baumannii</i> OmpA is essential for the attachment to <i>C. albicans</i> filaments ⁵⁶
<i>Saccharomyces</i> sp. <i>S. cerevisiae</i>	<i>Acinetobacter</i> sp. <i>A. baumannii</i>	<i>In C. elegans</i> model, fungal ethanol secretion can enhance <i>A. baumannii</i> growth and virulence ⁷⁵
<i>Candida</i> sp. <i>C. albicans</i>	<i>Staphylococcus</i> sp. <i>S. epidermidis</i> <i>S. aureus</i>	<i>In vitro</i> , <i>S. epidermidis</i> can adhere to both hyphae and yeast cells of <i>C. albicans</i> ⁵⁷ <i>In vitro</i> , bacterial extracellular polymers can protect <i>C. albicans</i> from the antifungal agent ⁵⁷ <i>In vitro</i> , fungal farnesol can inhibit <i>S. aureus</i> biofilm capability ⁵⁸ <i>In vitro</i> , fungal farnesol can increase the antimicrobial susceptibility of <i>S. aureus</i> ⁵⁸
<i>Candida</i> sp. <i>C. albicans</i>	<i>Streptococcus</i> sp. <i>S. gordonii</i> <i>S. oralis</i> <i>S. sanguinis</i> <i>S. mutans</i>	<i>In vitro</i> , fungal Als proteins mediate aggregation with <i>S. gordonii</i> and <i>C. albicans</i> ⁵⁹ <i>In vitro</i> , bacterial Ssp proteins mediate the adherence to <i>C. albicans</i> ⁵⁹ <i>In vitro</i> , bacterial QS molecule can inhibit the yeast-hyphae transition in <i>C. albicans</i> ⁶⁰
<i>Candida</i> sp. <i>C. albicans</i>	<i>Lactobacillus</i> sp. <i>L. acidophilus</i> <i>L. rhamnosus</i> <i>L. reuteri</i>	<i>In vitro</i> , bacterial hydrogen peroxide or organic acids can inhibit <i>C. albicans</i> growth and virulence ⁶¹ <i>In vivo</i> , <i>Lactobacillus</i> sp. can inhibit the GI colonisation and infection of <i>C. albicans</i> ⁶² <i>In vivo</i> , <i>C. albicans</i> can suppress <i>Lactobacillus</i> sp. regeneration in the GI tract after antibiotic therapy ^{63, 64}
<i>Candida</i> sp. <i>C. albicans</i>	<i>Helicobacter</i> sp. <i>H. pylori</i>	<i>In vivo</i> , co-existence of <i>C. albicans</i> with <i>H. pylori</i> may indicate the synergism in peptic ulcers ⁷⁶
<i>Cryptococcus</i> sp. <i>C. neoformans</i>	<i>Klebsiella</i> sp. <i>K. aerogenes</i>	<i>In vitro</i> , <i>K. aerogenes</i> can augment <i>C. neoformans</i> melanisation and enhance fungal virulence ⁶⁵
<i>Candida</i> sp. <i>C. albicans</i>	<i>Salmonella</i> sp. <i>S. typhimurium</i>	<i>In C. elegans</i> model, <i>S. typhimurium</i> can inhibit the hyphae formation of <i>C. albicans</i> ⁶⁶ <i>In vitro</i> , <i>S. typhimurium</i> can inhibit <i>C. albicans</i> virulence and biofilm formation ⁶⁶
<i>Candida</i> sp. <i>C. albicans</i>	<i>Escherichia</i> sp. <i>E. coli</i>	<i>In vivo</i> and <i>in vitro</i> , <i>E. coli</i> endotoxin can enhance the virulence of <i>C. albicans</i> ⁶⁷ <i>In vitro</i> , fungal farnesol can increase the susceptibility of <i>E. coli</i> to anti-bacterials ⁶⁸
<i>Candida</i> sp. <i>C. albicans</i>	<i>Burkholderia</i> sp. <i>B. cepacia</i>	<i>In vitro</i> , bacterial DSF can inhibit the germ tube and filament formation of <i>C. albicans</i> ⁶⁹

QS, quorum sensing; OmpA, outer membrane protein A; GI, gastrointestinal; DSF, diffusible signal factor.

confirmed by some evidence. For instance, the germ-free mouse exhibited an increased susceptibility for the intestinal colonisation of *C. albicans*.⁸⁰ Disturbances of the bacterial community in the GI tract promote *C. albicans* colonisation,^{81, 82} suggesting that the normal bacterial microbiota of the GI tract have an inhibitory effect against fungal colonisation and invasion. One study in mice showed that the commensal mycobiome could be found in intestinal patches co-habiting with bacterial microbiota, indicating the formation of fungal-bacterial

biofilms in GI tract.⁸³ In addition, several exogenous fungal probiotics, such as *Saccharomyces boulardii*, have been widely used as probiotics in clinical practice since the 1950s in Europe and are strongly recommended for the prevention of AAD.⁸⁴ The potential protective efficacy of *S. boulardii* may be due to the regulation of the host immune system and its interaction with pathogenic or normal microbes. Overall, the microbial interactions in the human GI tract are complex and undefined; many interactions remain to be investigated.

MYCOTOXINS

Mycotoxins, small-molecule secondary metabolites of fungi, exhibit diverse structures and can be classified into over 400 species of which about 30 are pathogenic to human beings.⁸⁵ The pathogenicity of mycotoxins in humans is mainly caused by the intake of contaminated food. Most food-borne mycotoxins are mainly from *Aspergillus* spp., *Penicillium* spp. and *Fusarium* spp., etc.⁸⁵ Some common mycotoxins such as aflatoxins (AF), sterigmatocystin (ST), fumonisin B (FB), ochratoxins A (OTA), trichothecenes and patulin are closely associated with human disease. The toxic effects of mycotoxins associated with the digestive system mainly include carcinogenicity and the disturbance of GI functions.

Carcinogenicity of mycotoxins

Aflatoxins are mainly produced by *Aspergillus* spp. and its subtype AFB₁ is positively associated with hepatocellular carcinoma.⁸⁶ High levels of AFB₁ in food and urine are associated with increased risk of hepatocellular carcinoma in the high incidence areas when compared with HBV infection.⁵ Moreover, the detection rate of AFG₁ in food is also high in those regions of China in which gastric and oesophageal carcinomas are most common.⁸⁷ ST is a late metabolite in the aflatoxin pathway and is also carcinogenic and mutagenic. *In vitro* and animal studies confirmed that ST could promote intestinal metaplasia and may participate in the progression of gastric carcinoma in the presence of *H. pylori*.^{88, 89} FB is produced mainly by *Fusarium* spp. The common subtype FB₁ has been demonstrated to cause hepatocellular carcinoma in animal models, but the association between FB₁ and hepatocellular carcinoma in humans has not been confirmed.⁹⁰ However, FB₁ may be a potential risk factor for oesophageal carcinoma, but this is still not widely accepted.⁹¹ OTA is produced mainly by *Aspergillus* spp. and *Penicillium* spp. The kidney is the primary target organ for OTA, so the renal toxicity is obvious; in addition to nephrotoxicity, liver toxicity, carcinogenicity and genotoxicity were shown in animal models.⁹² Trichothecenes, represented by deoxynivalenol (DON), nivalenol (NIV) and T-2 toxin, are produced mainly by *Fusarium* spp. The detection rate of DON and NIV in contaminated food in the Linxian and Cixian areas of China with a high incidence of gastric and oesophageal carcinoma is much higher, and feeding with contaminated grains in these areas could induce benign and malignant tumours in mice.⁹³ In total, the association between AF and hepatocellular carcinoma has been confirmed, but the carcinogenicity of the other mycotoxins on human beings is still controversial. Epidemio-

logical, *in vivo* and *in vitro* studies should be conducted to draw definitive conclusions.

Effects of mycotoxins on intestinal functions

The GI tract, as the primary targeting organ of mycotoxins, is exposed directly to mycotoxins with a higher concentration than other tissues and organs, which can affect the regeneration, proliferation, differentiation and repair of intestinal epithelial cells.⁹⁴ Some mycotoxins, such as FB₁, OTA and DON, could reduce the expression of zonula occludens protein and increase intestinal mucosal permeability, thus damaging the barrier functions of intestinal epithelial cells and inducing bacterial translocation.^{6, 95} Moreover, mycotoxins can influence the immune system of the GI tract.⁹⁴ Mycotoxins could trigger mucosal immunoregulatory mechanisms such as the secretion of mucus, anti-microbial peptides and immunoglobulins, and directly induce inflammation by inducing intestinal epithelial cells to secrete chemotactic factors and pro-inflammatory cytokines.^{96–98} Mycotoxins may be associated with chronic inflammation of the intestine in genetically susceptible patients with IBD or coeliac disease, but further studies should be conducted to confirm this relationship.⁹⁷ Interestingly, some studies based on culture methodology showed that mycotoxins can change the composition of intestinal bacterial communities. For example, the long-term exposure of DON or T2 toxin can increase intestinal colonisation by aerobes.⁹⁴ But the definite disturbance of human GI fungal and bacterial microbiota induced by mycotoxins still remains largely unknown.

THE IMMUNE RESPONSE TO FUNGI

Fungal pathogens elicit innate immune responses at mucosal epithelial cell surfaces of the GI, respiratory and genitourinary tracts and in the skin.⁹ Various fungal cell wall components, including β -glucans, zymosans, mannans, chitin, DNA and RNA are the main sources of pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs) that are recognised by host cells. Immunity to fungi critically requires pattern recognition receptors (PRRs) on human host cells to recognise and trigger innate and adaptive immune responses: these PRRs include Toll-like receptors (TLRs), C-type lectin receptors (CLRs), NOD-like receptors (NLRs), galectin family and retinoic acid-inducible gene-I-like receptors (RLRs). These PRRs are mainly present in host phagocytic cells including monocytes, macrophages and neutrophils, as well as some nonphagocytic cell types, such as epithelial and endothelial cells, which could contribute to the anti-fungal innate immune

response through phagocytosis and direct pathogen killing. The immune responses mediated by PRRs on related host cells include fungal binding and phagocytosis, induction of anti-fungal effector mechanisms and the production of various soluble mediators including defensins, cytokines, chemokines and some inflammatory lipids. In addition, PRRs also direct and modulate the development of adaptive immunity, particularly the TH1 and TH17 responses induced by dendritic cells. We should note that multiple PRRs could be stimulated by fungal PAMPs in different combinations depending on the fungal species and on the host cell types. Challenges exist in the field of the human host immune response to fungi, which include the control of inflammation leading to tolerance, the basis of immune regulation and dysregulation, and the link between PRRs polymorphisms and fungal susceptibility in the context of various digestive diseases. In-depth studies of the complex cross-talk mechanisms present in the process of host immunity to fungi, especially of the GI mucosal immune response to commensal fungi should be conducted.

Main fungal-related PAMPs and PRRs

C-type lectin receptors, including Dectin-1, Dectin-2, DC-SIGN, mannose receptor and mannose-binding lectin, play a central role in the recognition and shaping of immune responses to fungal pathogens.⁹⁹ The associated fungal PAMPs recognised by various CLRs are shown in Table 2. Dectin-1, for example, is the key CLR-recognised fungal β -glucan.⁹⁹ Dectin-1 gene deletion can enhance the host's susceptibility to fungi and thus increase the fungal colonisation and dissemination in animal models.^{100, 101} The two main signalling pathways involved in the activation of Dectin-1 are spleen tyrosine kinase-caspase recruitment domain-containing protein 9 (CARD9) and serine/threonine protein kinase Raf-1, which may have synergetic function and induce the activation of NF- κ B through cross-talk mechanism.¹⁰² Moreover, a recent study in a murine colitis model reported that CARD9 could influence the colonisation of fungi by mediating intestinal mucosal immune responses.¹⁰³ In addition, Dectin-1 could mediate fungal immune response through the synergistic collaboration with TLRs.^{99, 104} Polymorphism in Dectin-1 has been linked to host susceptibility of several fungi species, and fungal colonisation and infection.^{105–107} Recently, one study has examined how intestinal commensal fungi interact with the host immune system through Dectin-1 in a murine model of colitis.⁸³

Toll-like receptors, particularly TLR2, 3, 4, 6, 7 and 9, as the members of the best-characterised PRRs families, are closely associated with fungal immune recognition

Table 2 | Main PRRs associated with host recognition for fungi

Fungal PAMPs	PRRs	Characteristics of inflammatory response
β -glucans	Dectin-1	Phagocytosis
Mannans	Dectin-2	Defensins secretion
N-linked mannans	DC-SIGN	Chemokines secretion
N-linked mannans / α -glucans/chitin	MR	Cytokines secretion
Mannose/mannans	Mincle	
Glycans	Langerin	
Mannose	MBL	
β -glucans / PLMmannans / zymosans	TLR2	Phagocytosis
dsDNA	TLR3	Defensins secretion
O-linked mannans/proteases	TLR4	Chemokines secretion
Zymosans/PLM	TLR6	Cytokines secretion
RNA	TLR7	
CpG DNA	TLR9	
/	NLRs	Inflammasomes formation Cytokines production
β -mannosides	Galectins	Fungal killing directly Cytokines production
β -glucans	CR3	Phagocytosis Cytokines secretion
β -glucans	CD36	Phagocytosis Chemokines secretion Cytokines secretion

PRRs, pattern recognition receptors; PAMPs, pathogen-associated molecular patterns; CLRs, C-type lectin receptors; MR, mannose receptor; MBL, mannose-binding lectin; PLM, phospholipomannans; TLRs, toll-like receptors; NLRs, NOD-like receptors; CR3, complement receptor 3; /, unclear.

and response. Both the exogenous pathogenic fungi (e.g. *Aspergillus* sp., *Cryptococcus* sp. and *Coccidioides* sp.) and the commensal fungi (e.g. *Candida* sp.) are recognised by TLRs. The contribution of individual TLRs may vary depending on the fungal species, fungal morphotypes, route of infection and receptor co-operativity. With regard to human studies, a polymorphism in TLR4 is associated with increased susceptibility to pulmonary aspergillosis and bloodstream candidiasis, and a polymorphism in the promoter of TLR9 is associated with allergic bronchopulmonary aspergillosis.¹⁰⁸ TLR1 and TLR6 gene polymorphisms are associated with the susceptibility of invasive aspergillosis in patients with haemopoietic stem cell transplantation (HSCT).¹⁰⁹

NOD-like receptors also contribute to the anti-fungal immunity intracellularly, although fungal PAMPs for

NLRs are not yet clear. NLRs could induce the production of IL-1 β and IL-18 through the formation of inflammasomes, which are essential for protective anti-fungal immunity, particularly for driving the development of anti-fungal TH17 and TH1 responses.^{110, 111} The NLRP3 inflammasome plays an important role in the regulation of host defence against microbial pathogens and intestinal homeostasis,¹¹² the damage of which could impair the integrity of intestinal epithelial cell,¹¹³ enhance the colonisation of *C. albicans*, and then promote the formation of granulomas in the GI tract.¹¹⁴ In addition, some evidence showed that the dysbiosis mediated by NOD2 could induce colitis and colorectal cancer,¹¹⁵ NOD2 gene mutations are associated with increased susceptibility to Crohn's diseases (CD) as well as increased levels of circulating anti-*S. cerevisiae* antibodies (ASCAs).¹¹⁶

ROLE OF FUNGI IN PATHOGENESIS OF GI DISORDERS

Digestive system-related fungal infections

The host immune system can tolerate the colonisation of commensal fungi in the GI tract, but defend against fungal invasion. However, this balance is disturbed in systemic immunosuppressive states including, for example, the acquired immune deficiency syndrome (AIDS), leukaemia and HSCT, solid organ transplantation and immunosuppressant therapy, anti-microbial and steroid treatments, total parenteral nutrition, iatrogenic catheters and mechanical ventilation, malignant tumours, chemoradiotherapy and diabetes mellitus, all of which are associated with enhanced fungal colonisation and infection in the GI tract. Similarly, localised insults in the digestive system, such as GI mucosal lesions and surgical procedures, can also lead to GI fungal infection. In addition, GI fungal infection is reported even among those patients with normal immune status. Digestive system-related fungal infections may be induced by both commensal opportunistic fungi and exogenous pathogenic fungi. The IFI in different GI sites have their special clinical features, which are often accompanied by various severe diseases. Although IFI associated with digestive diseases are less common, they can induce fatal outcomes due to less specificity of related symptoms, signs, endoscopic and imaging manifestations, and the poor treatment options. Further works should focus on the early diagnosis and treatment for the GI fungal infections, and the proper application of anti-fungal drugs.

Among oesophageal IFI, *Candida* sp. is the most frequently identified species in patients with immunodeficiency

conditions, especially AIDS. Other unusual pathogenic fungi such as *Cryptococcus* sp., *Aspergillus* sp., *Mucor* sp., *Paracoccidioides* sp. and *Saccharomyces* sp. have also been isolated. Severe oesophageal IFI could cause oesophageal stricture and perforation, and often coexists with reflux oesophagitis, oesophageal cancer and AIDS.¹¹⁷ Oesophageal fungal infection is one precipitating factors for oesophageal cancer and can cause local chronic inflammation and result in the proliferation of epithelial cells and enhanced susceptibility to carcinogens. On the other hand, the metabolites produced by fungi could directly act on the oesophageal epithelial cells, thus resulting in carcinogenesis.

Candida sp. is also the most frequently identified species among patients with gastric IFI. We also need to recognise the emergence of gastric mycosis caused by *Basidiobolus* sp., *Mucor* sp., *Cryptococcus* sp., *Histoplasma* sp., *Aspergillus* sp., *Paracoccidioides* sp. and *Cryptococcus* sp. Gastric IFI is often characterised by the abdominal pain and vomiting and with the endoscopic characteristics including gastric giant and multiple ulcers, stenosis, perforation and fistula. For example, gastric ulcers combined with entogastric fungal infection, characterised by deep, large and intractable ulcers,¹¹⁸ were reported as early as the 1930s.¹¹⁹ More than 50% of patients with gastric ulcers present with gastric fungal colonisation, which often appears among the elderly population with low gastric acid.²⁸ Moreover, the fungal infection could occur in gastric carcinoma and residual gastritis, which may be due to the injury of gastric mucosa barrier functions, the long-term use of antibiotics, chemoradiotherapy, the stomach tumour itself and the surgical procedures.¹²⁰ In addition, various systemic immunosuppressive disorders can impair the local immunity of gastric mucous, and gastric fungal infection is not uncommon.^{30, 121}

Liver IFI is mainly induced by the systemic immunosuppressive state and is one manifestation of systemic disseminated fungal infection. Patients with liver IFI often present with abdominal pain, obstructive jaundice, abnormal hepatic enzymes, hepatosplenomegaly and liver abscess. Pathogenic fungi including *Mucor* sp., *Candida* sp., *Aspergillus* sp., *Cryptococcus* sp., *Histoplasma* sp., *Coccidioides* sp., *Malassezia* sp. and *Paracoccidioides* sp., were reported to cause local infection of liver.^{122–124} On the other hand, some severe liver disorders can also cause the systemic disseminated fungal infection. For example, IFI is one of the major complications of patients with chronic severe hepatitis, liver failure and decompensated cirrhosis; higher hepatitis B virus DNA

levels may be an independent risk factor.¹²⁵ Furthermore, IFI is more common in liver transplant recipients and is associated with increased morbidity and mortality following liver transplantation.^{126, 127} The incidence of IFI after liver transplantation is up to 15–42% and the fatality rate is 40–80%, which is higher than that of acute rejection, renal failure and virus infection.^{126–128} *Candida* sp. are the most common pathogenic fungi after liver transplantation, and *Aspergillus* sp., *Cryptococcus* sp. show a tendency to increase.^{127, 128} The higher incidence of IFI after liver transplantation is associated with end-stage liver diseases, operative procedures, hyperglycaemia, post-operative immunosuppressants, and hormone and antibiotics treatments.¹²⁸ Furthermore, one study reported a higher intestinal fungal biodiversity among patients with chronic HBV infection, but *Candida* sp. and *S. cerevisiae* were the only dominant fungi that could be cultured. Importantly, the fungal diversity and detection rate was positively correlated with disease progression.¹²⁹ It is still not clear whether and how the intestinal mycobiome contributes to the incidence of IFI among patients suffering from the end-stage liver diseases and liver transplantation.

The overgrowth and colonisation of fungi in intestine can lead to diarrhoea. Although the human intestine is the largest source of fungaemia in the immunocompromised host, IFI with intestinal involvement is an uncommon but serious complication.^{130, 131} Several fungal species such as *Saccharomyces* sp., *Candida* sp., *Mucor* sp., *Basidiobolus* sp., *Aspergillus* sp., *Histoplasma* sp., *Mucor* sp., *Cryptococcus* sp., *Geotrichum* sp. and *Actinomyces* sp. could cause small intestinal and colonic IFI. Severe intestinal IFI could produce the clinical presentations of anorexia, abdominal pain, watery diarrhoea, lethal bowel necrosis and infarction, GI bleeding, ulcers and perforation. Importantly, there have been attempts to account for the possible role of fungi in the pathogenesis of some intestinal diseases such as IBD and IBS, which, while plausible, remains unproved. Moreover, intestinal fungi are closely associated with the intestinal disorders after chemoradiotherapy and antibiotic treatment, which are also the major concerns of gastroenterologists.

Fungal interactions with *H. pylori* in peptic ulcers

Candida-associated peptic ulcers are more common than previously considered and are characterised by the ulcer's delayed healing process and even perforation. As with *H. pylori*, *Candida* sp. may play an aetiologic role in peptic ulcers, as it can be detected in the *H. pylori*-negative

patients with peptic ulcers.¹³² But other views suggest that fungi alone cannot play a pathogenic role as they colonise only gastric epithelium,⁷⁶ and the presence of fungi in the stomach is a secondary phenomenon of peptic ulcers.¹³³ One study showed that 66.7% of gastric disorder patients with *Candida* sp. in the gastric mucosa were co-colonised with *H. pylori*.⁷⁶ Although causation vs. secondary colonisation was never actually examined in these studies, the co-existence of *C. albicans* with *H. pylori* may indicate synergism in pathogenesis of peptic ulcers, and hypha formation by *Candida* sp. could contribute to the mechanism of ulcer perforation.⁷⁶ Several studies found the intracellular occurrence of *H. pylori* in *Candida* sp. and confirmed that *H. pylori* in the vacuole *Candida* sp. could be viable and express several *H. pylori*-specific proteins such as VacA, urease and peroxiredoxin.^{134, 135} Accordingly, the vacuole of *Candida* sp. as the reservoirs and vehicles of *H. pylori* could offer the essential nutrients for *H. pylori* survival and multiplication, and protect *H. pylori* against hostile gastric conditions. These interactions between *H. pylori* and *Candida* sp. may play a crucial role in their gastric colonisation, but whether they also contribute to the pathogenesis of peptic ulcers has not been explored.

Role of fungi in GI and liver cancers

According to the limited literature, the carcinogenicity of fungi is mainly due to the long-term intake of exogenous mycotoxins in contaminated food. As discussed earlier, mycotoxins may be involved in the development of hepatocellular carcinoma, gastric and oesophageal carcinoma.^{86, 87} Furthermore, the fungal infection and colonisation in the stomach is common in patients with gastric cancers. *Helicobacter pylori* infection is the definitive risk factor for gastric cancers,¹³⁶ so interactions between *Candida* sp. and *H. pylori* may play an aetiologic role in the gastric carcinogenesis. We are just beginning to understand that the disturbance of intestinal microbiota could play a significant role in colorectal cancer,¹³⁷ characterised by the increased abundance of sulphate-reducing bacterial and the lower proportions of butyrate-producing bacteria.¹³⁸ Moreover, butyrate is a bacterial metabolite that provides fuel for the colonic mucosa and possesses anti-inflammatory and anti-proliferative properties, the suppression of which could increase the risk of colorectal cancer.¹³⁹ However, it remains to be determined whether the disturbance of the indigenous GI mycobiome and their metabolites contributes to the tumourigenesis. Certainly, it should also be noted that the chronic inflammation of GI epithelial cells

generated by dysbiosis contributes to the neoplastic transformation of GI tract in combination with genetic susceptibility of the host.

Role of fungi in the pathogenesis of IBD

Regarding the intestinal microbiota, most studies in this area have focused on the composition and function of bacterial microbiota and its relationship with several metabolic diseases (obesity, diabetes, metabolic syndrome) and intestinal disorders including IBD, IBS, colorectal cancer.^{2, 3, 140} The intestinal bacterial microbiota and related metabolites can work together with the host genetic susceptibility and host systemic and local mucosal immune response to contribute to the pathogenesis of IBD.^{2, 141–144} Only a few investigations on intestinal fungal microbiota and its relationship with IBD have been conducted. Much evidence has shown that fungi and their communities may be involved in the pathogenesis of IBD, especially CD.¹⁴⁵ The colonisation of *C. albicans* can exacerbate intestinal inflammation in a murine colitis model, and obvious improvement can be observed after anti-fungal treatment.¹⁴⁶ ASCAs as one of the serological markers for CD could also be induced by *C. albicans*.^{147, 148} Moreover, *C. albicans* can be isolated from the intestine more frequently in CD patients and their healthy relatives, but the positive association between ASCAs level and the amount of intestinal *C. albicans* in CD still remains controversial.¹⁴⁹ In addition, inhibition of IL-17A by secukinumab is ineffective in active CD patients,¹⁵⁰ which may be linked to *C. albicans* thriving in the gut induced by loss of control by IL17.¹⁵¹ Large amounts of *Candida* sp. can also be detected in the faeces or intestinal mucosa among patients suffering from ulcerative colitis,^{146, 152} and the clinical symptoms and intestinal inflammation may be improved after the anti-fungal treatments.¹⁴⁶ There were dominant differences in fungal community structure related to IBD compared with that of controls. Fungi sequences could be detected in all IBD patients' colonic mucosa, and the diversity of the intestinal mycobiome was clearly increased among IBD patients, but the proportion of the mycobiome in the whole intestinal microbiota was very low.¹² Further study needs to be conducted on whether the change in fungal community structure is secondary to the imbalance of intestinal bacterial community or an independent pathogenic factor in IBD. Recently, a study connected intestinal fungal microbiota with the host immune system through Dectin-1 in a murine model of colitis, in support of a possible fungal aetiology for IBD.⁸³

Role of fungi in the pathogenesis of IBS

Epidemiological and clinical data support the role of GI microbiota in the pathogenesis of IBS. The disturbances of GI bacterial microbiota and their metabolites (e.g. butyrate, acetate and propionate; CH₄ and H₂ gases) have been observed in IBS patients.¹⁵³ In addition, IBS symptoms which begin with a GI infection is known as post-infectious IBS.¹⁵⁴ Pathogenic bacteria, viruses and parasites are involved in the occurrence of post-infectious IBS, but it is not clear whether pathogenic or commensal fungi in GI tract are responsible for IBS symptoms. In any case, the dominant symptoms of *Candida*-associated infectious diarrhoea and those of the so-called 'candida syndrome' are similar to IBS associated symptoms. Moreover, several studies reported that the fungi-containing foods combined with intestinal fermentation disturbances could be related to the IBS-related symptoms and food intolerance. Food intolerance may then be a consequence of the bacterial microbiota disturbance and the overgrowth of *C. albicans* in GI tract.¹⁵⁵ However, some studies demonstrated that the overgrowth of *C. albicans* was not the cause of food intolerance in patients with IBS and there was no conclusive link between the overgrowth of *C. albicans* in intestine and the symptoms of IBS.¹⁵⁶ In addition, some evidence showed that fungal products and antigens could cause IBS symptoms in sensitised patients.¹⁴ For example, components (e.g. glycoproteins) and products (e.g. alcohol) of *C. albicans* which are potentially immunogenic and allergenic could provoke mast cell-mediated hyperreactivity and disturb intestinal immunity and barrier function. These pathogenic mechanisms are similar to the pathogenesis of IBS. Regardless, there remains little evidence to support the possible involvement of fungi in the aetiology of IBS.

Fungal enteritis secondary to chemoradiotherapy

Invasive fungal infections have emerged as a significant problem in patients with solid tumours or haematological malignancies undergoing chemoradiotherapy and HSCT procedures with an incidence of 10–15% and the mortality rate of 50–90%.¹⁵⁷ The predominant causative fungal species include yeasts such as *C. albicans* as well as other *Candida* species (e.g. *C. glabrata*, *C. parapsilosis*, *C. krusei* and *C. tropicalis*), and the filamentous fungi such as *Aspergillus* sp. The frequency of isolation of *Cryptococcus* sp., *Scedosporium* sp., *Fusarium* sp., *Zygomycetes* sp. and *Trichosporon* sp. is currently rising. A survey in adult cancer patients described a shift in epidemiology of candidiasis, characterised by significantly

lower rates of candidemia with non-*C. albicans* sp. (e.g. *C. glabrata* and *C. krusei*) in patients with solid tumours compared with those with haematological malignancies.¹⁵⁸ However, for intestinal IFI, there are fewer comprehensive data for patients with GI solid tumours, although it appears that the species distribution is more consistent with that of general hospital patients.^{159, 160} Furthermore, among cancer patients who have received chemoradiotherapy, fungal infection and fungal colonisation mainly occurred in the oral cavity and lung. Invasive fungal enteropathy is an unusual presentation, which most often occurs in the setting of disseminated infection and the true incidence of which may be underestimated. The diagnosis of enteric fungal infection can be delayed because of its nonspecific presentation as well as the lack of characteristic imaging. Clinicians need to make a decision on whether to prevent or treat IFI in cancer patients receiving chemoradiotherapy.

Mucositis following chemotherapy is a major oncological problem which results in GI ulcers and symptoms such as nausea, vomiting, dysphasia, abdominal pain, diarrhoea and constipation.¹⁶¹ More than 50% of patients receiving 5-fluorouracil or irinotecan develop either GI or oral mucositis, but GI mucositis has not been well defined and the underlying mechanisms of the condition are yet to be established. From the little research conducted, it is likely that there could be a potentially important relationship between commensal microbiota and the subsequent development of chemotherapy-induced mucositis.^{16, 162} The abundance of the certain commensal bacterial populations is significantly influenced by chemotherapy, with a decrease in *Bifidobacterium* sp., *Lactobacillus* sp., *Veillonella* sp. and *Faecalibacterium* sp. and an increase in *Enterococcus* sp.¹⁶³ Dysbiosis of the intestinal bacterial microbiota could contribute to the development of chemotherapy-induced mucositis by influencing the inflammatory process and oxidative stress, intestinal permeability, resistance to harmful stimuli and epithelial repair, the intestinal mucus layer, and the activation and release of immune effector molecules. Theoretically, chemoradiotherapy could aggravate the GI mucosal lesion, resulting in mucositis, as the mucosal barrier is the portal of entry that allows for invasion of fungi. It is well recognised that the overgrowth of GI fungi could be observed among cancer patients undergoing chemoradiotherapy, but the influence of chemotherapy on GI commensal fungal microbiota remains poorly described, and whether fungi in the GI tract play an important role in chemotherapy-induced mucositis still remains unclear.

Role of fungi in AAD

It is confirmed that prolonged antibiotic treatment has dramatic long-term effects on the commensal GI microbiota, which could disturb the homeostasis of GI bacterial communities, and thus pre-dispose to the fungal colonisation and infection. Fungal pathogens may play a relevant, but secondary role compared to pathogenic bacteria such as *Clostridium difficile*. The effect of broad-spectrum antibiotics on the intestinal microbiota is characterised by the decrease in protective bacterial populations and the increase in fungi. However, the role of fungi in AAD is still controversial.^{15, 164} It has been reported that the faecal concentrations of *Candida* sp. of $\geq 10^5$ CFU/mL can cause diarrhoea in patients receiving antibiotic therapy, but which $< 10^5$ CFU/mL do not. It has also been hypothesised that fungal virulence factors such as phospholipases and secreted aspartyl proteinases may contribute to AAD, but some suggested that fungi and their metabolites were the result of antibiotic treatment or diarrhoea rather than a cause of AAD.^{164, 165}

On the other hand, the GI microbiome also plays a significant role in the recovery from antibiotic treatment. Several animal studies documented that treatment with broad-spectrum antibiotics could induce the colonisation and overgrowth of *C. albicans* in the caecum and stomach; most importantly, the colonisation of *C. albicans* could also modulate the antibiotic recovery of GI microbiota, antagonising *Lactobacillus* sp. and facilitating *Enterococcus faecalis* colonisation. *Candida albicans* colonisation in the stomach can antagonise the intragastric colonisation of lactic acid bacteria after antibiotic treatment, but can make *E. faecalis* colonise more easily.⁶³ In addition, the diversity of the bacterial microbiota in the intestine of mice decreased after antibiotic treatment, and the intestinal colonisation of *C. albicans* contributed to the recovery of the bacterial diversity, but resulted in the reduction in *Lactobacillus* sp. and the increase in *E. faecalis*.⁶⁴ On the whole, the research to date has focused on the disturbance of bacterial microbiome after antibiotic treatment; however, the GI fungal communities indeed influence the reassembly of GI bacterial microbiota after treatment with broad-spectrum antibiotics.^{63, 64} We should begin to highlight the fungal–bacterial interactions in these processes.

CONCLUSIONS

Until now, the influence of symbiotic fungal community on human health and disease has been ignored. We have little knowledge about the distribution of the fungal

microbiota and its function in the human digestive tract. Further studies are needed to explain (i) the cross-talk interactions between fungi and bacteria at the species or community level in the human GI tract, particularly the formation of mixed biofilms and their role in the maintenance of normal physiological function and pathogenicity; (ii) the influence of pathogenic fungi and the commensal fungal microbiota on host systemic immune and local GI mucosal immunity; (iii) the characteristics of invasive fungi associated with various digestive diseases; and (iv) the involvement of fungi in the host energy homeostasis and metabolic functions. The study of the microbial community has entered the era of metagenomics. Further research should be conducted to investigate the diversity and abundance of the faecal- and GI mucosa-associated mycobiome, and its potential pathogenic role in different digestive diseases such as IBD, peptic ulcers and GI cancers. Whether the alteration of the mycobiome in GI tract is secondary to an

imbalanced bacterial microbiota or an independent aetiological factor needs to be investigated. At present, the co-sequencing of fungal and bacterial DNA may be the optimal and cost-effective method for the metagenomics studies. The application of metagenomics combined with metabonomics, transcriptomics and proteomics, as well as human genome-wide association studies, will contribute to our understanding of the role of the fungal microbiota in human GI health and disease.

AUTHORSHIP

Guarantor of the article: Yun Sheng Yang.

Author contributions: ZKW performed a literature search, analysed the data and wrote the manuscript. This article was reviewed and amended by YSY, ATS, GS and LHP. All authors approved the final version of the manuscript.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Declaration of personal and funding interests: None.

REFERENCES

- Peterson J, Garges S, Giovanni M, *et al.*; NIH HMP Working Group. The NIH Human Microbiome Project. *Genome Res* 2009; **19**: 2317–23.
- Qin J, Li R, Raes J, *et al.* A human gut microbial gene catalogue established by metagenomic sequencing. *Nature* 2010; **464**: 59–65.
- Qin J, Li Y, Cai Z, *et al.* A metagenome-wide association study of gut microbiota in type 2 diabetes. *Nature* 2012; **490**: 55–60.
- Peleg AY, Hogan DA, Mylonakis E. Medically important bacterial-fungal interactions. *Nat Rev Microbiol* 2010; **8**: 340–9.
- Asim M, Sarma MP, Thayumanavan L, Kar P. Role of aflatoxin B1 as a risk for primary liver cancer in north Indian population. *Clin Biochem* 2011; **44**: 1235–40.
- Bouhet S, Hourcade E, Loiseau N, *et al.* The mycotoxin fumonisin B1 alters the proliferation and the barrier function of porcine intestinal epithelial cells. *Toxicol Sci* 2004; **77**: 165–71.
- Bouhet S, Oswald IP. The intestine as a possible target for fumonisin toxicity. *Mol Nutr Food Res* 2007; **51**: 925–31.
- Hube B. Fungal adaptation to the host environment. *Curr Opin Microbiol* 2009; **12**: 347–9.
- Romani L. Immunity to fungal infections. *Nat Rev Immunol* 2011; **11**: 275–88.
- Kullberg BJ, Verweij PE, Akova M, *et al.* European expert opinion on the management of invasive candidiasis in adults. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2011; **17**(Suppl. 5): 1–12.
- Sifuentes-Osornio J, Corzo-Leon DE, Ponce-de-Leon LA. Epidemiology of invasive fungal infections in Latin America. *Curr Fungal Infect Rep* 2012; **6**: 23–34.
- Ott SJ, Kuhbacher T, Musfeldt M, *et al.* Fungi and inflammatory bowel diseases: alterations of composition and diversity. *Scand J Gastroenterol* 2008; **43**: 831–41.
- Ramaswamy K, Correa M, Koshy A. Non-healing gastric ulcer associated with *Candida* infection. *Indian J Med Microbiol* 2007; **25**: 57–8.
- Santelmann H, Howard JM. Yeast metabolic products, yeast antigens and yeasts as possible triggers for irritable bowel syndrome. *Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2005; **17**: 21–6.
- Krause R, Reisinger EC. *Candida* and antibiotic-associated diarrhoea. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2005; **11**: 1–2.
- Stringer AM, Gibson RJ, Logan RM, *et al.* Gastrointestinal microflora and mucins may play a critical role in the development of 5-Fluorouracil-induced gastrointestinal mucositis. *Exp Biol Med* 2009; **234**: 430–41.
- Schulze J, Sonnenborn U. Yeasts in the gut: from commensals to infectious agents. *Dtsch Arztebl Int* 2009; **106**: 837–42.
- Fouts DE, Szpakowski S, Purushe J, *et al.* Next generation sequencing to define prokaryotic and fungal diversity in the bovine rumen. *PLoS ONE* 2012; **7**: e48289.
- Findley K, Oh J, Yang J *et al.* Topographic diversity of fungal and bacterial communities in human skin. *Nature* 2013; **498**: 367–70.
- Ghannoum MA, Jurevic RJ, Mukherjee PK, *et al.* Characterization of the oral fungal microbiome (mycobiome) in healthy individuals. *PLoS Pathog* 2010; **6**: e1000713.
- Pei Z, Bini EJ, Yang L, Zhou M, Francois F, Blaser MJ. Bacterial biota in the human distal esophagus. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2004; **101**: 4250–5.
- Yang L, Lu X, Nossa CW, Francois F, Peek RM, Pei Z. Inflammation and intestinal metaplasia of the distal esophagus are associated with alterations in the microbiome. *Gastroenterology* 2009; **137**: 588–97.
- Yang L, Francois F, Pei Z. Molecular pathways: pathogenesis and clinical implications of microbiome alteration

- in esophagitis and Barrett esophagus. *Clin Cancer Res* 2012; **18**: 2138–44.
24. Mathieson R, Dutta SK. Candida esophagitis. *Dig Dis Sci* 1983; **28**: 365–70.
 25. Bonavina L, Incarbone R, Reitano M, Tortorano A, Viviani M, Peracchia A. Candida colonization in patients with esophageal disease: a prospective clinical study. *Dis Esophagus* 2003; **16**: 70–2.
 26. Bik EM, Eckburg PB, Gill SR, et al. Molecular analysis of the bacterial microbiota in the human stomach. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2006; **103**: 732–7.
 27. Li XX, Wong GL, To KF, et al. Bacterial microbiota profiling in gastritis without *Helicobacter pylori* infection or non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug use. *PLoS ONE* 2009; **4**: e7985.
 28. Zwolinska-Wcislo M, Budak A, Bogdal J, Trojanowska D, Stachura J. Fungal colonization of gastric mucosa and its clinical relevance. *Med Sci Monit* 2001; **7**: 982–8.
 29. Gong YB, Zheng JL, Jin B, et al. Particular *Candida albicans* strains in the digestive tract of dyspeptic patients, identified by multilocus sequence typing. *PLoS ONE* 2012; **7**: e35311.
 30. von Rosenvinge EC, Song Y, White JR, Maddox C, Blanchard T, Fricke WF. Immune status, antibiotic medication and pH are associated with changes in the stomach fluid microbiota. *ISME J* 2013; **7**: 1354–66.
 31. Hartman AL, Lough DM, Barupal DK, et al. Human gut microbiome adopts an alternative state following small bowel transplantation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2009; **106**: 17187–92.
 32. Li Q, Wang C, Zhang C, et al. Use of 18S ribosomal DNA polymerase chain reaction-denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis to study composition of fungal community in 2 patients with intestinal transplants. *Hum Pathol* 2012; **43**: 1273–81.
 33. Miranda LN, van der Heijden IM, Costa SF, et al. Candida colonisation as a source for candidaemia. *J Hosp Infect* 2009; **72**: 9–16.
 34. Nucci M, Anaissie E. Revisiting the source of candidemia: skin or gut? *Clin Infect Dis* 2001; **33**: 1959–67.
 35. Olszak T, An D, Zeissig S, et al. Microbial exposure during early life has persistent effects on natural killer T cell function. *Science* 2012; **336**: 489–93.
 36. Wu GD, Chen J, Hoffmann C, et al. Linking long-term dietary patterns with gut microbial enterotypes. *Science* 2011; **334**: 105–8.
 37. Flint HJ, Scott KP, Louis P, Duncan SH. The role of the gut microbiota in nutrition and health. *Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2012; **9**: 577–89.
 38. Pandey PK, Siddharth J, Verma P, Bavdekar A, Patole MS, Shouche YS. Molecular typing of fecal eukaryotic microbiota of human infants and their respective mothers. *J Biosci* 2012; **37**: 221–6.
 39. Gouba N, Raoult D, Drancourt M. Plant and fungal diversity in gut microbiota as revealed by molecular and culture investigations. *PLoS ONE* 2013; **8**: e59474.
 40. Jobst D, Kraft K. Candida species in stool, symptoms and complaints in general practice – a cross-sectional study of 308 outpatients. *Mycoses* 2006; **49**: 415–20.
 41. Monteiro-da-Silva F, Sampaio-Maia B, Pereira Mde L, Araujo R. Characterization of the oral fungal microbiota in smokers and non-smokers. *Eur J Oral Sci* 2013; **121**: 132–5.
 42. James TY, Kauff F, Schoch CL, et al. Reconstructing the early evolution of Fungi using a six-gene phylogeny. *Nature* 2006; **443**: 818–22.
 43. Soeta N, Terashima M, Gotoh M, et al. An improved rapid quantitative detection and identification method for a wide range of fungi. *J Med Microbiol* 2009; **58**: 1037–44.
 44. Song J, Shi L, Li D, et al. Extensive pyrosequencing reveals frequent intra-genomic variations of internal transcribed spacer regions of nuclear ribosomal DNA. *PLoS ONE* 2012; **7**: e43971.
 45. Kraneveld EA, Buijs MJ, Bonder MJ, et al. The relation between oral Candida load and bacterial microbiome profiles in Dutch older adults. *PLoS ONE* 2012; **7**: e42770.
 46. Wang L, Zhai B, Lin X. The link between morphotype transition and virulence in *Cryptococcus neoformans*. *PLoS Pathog* 2012; **8**: e1002765.
 47. Noverr MC, Huffnagle GB. Regulation of *Candida albicans* morphogenesis by fatty acid metabolites. *Infect Immun* 2004; **72**: 6206–10.
 48. Desai JV, Bruno VM, Ganguly S, et al. Regulatory role of glycerol in *Candida albicans* biofilm formation. *mBio* 2013; **4**: e00637–12.
 49. Shirliff ME, Peters BM, Jabra-Rizk MA. Cross-kingdom interactions: *Candida albicans* and bacteria. *FEMS Microbiol Lett* 2009; **299**: 1–8.
 50. Harriott MM, Noverr MC. Importance of candida-bacterial polymicrobial biofilms in disease. *Trends Microbiol* 2011; **19**: 557–63.
 51. Frey-Klett P, Burlinson P, Deveau A, Barret M, Tarkka M, Sarniguet A. Bacterial–fungal interactions: hyphens between agricultural, clinical, environmental, and food microbiologists. *Microbiol Mol Biol Rev* 2011; **75**: 583–609.
 52. Cugini C, Calfee MW, Farrow JM 3rd, Morales DK, Pesci EC, Hogan DA. Farnesol, a common sesquiterpene, inhibits PQS production in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *Mol Microbiol* 2007; **65**: 896–906.
 53. Hogan DA, Kolter R. Pseudomonas–Candida interactions: an ecological role for virulence factors. *Science* 2002; **296**: 2229–32.
 54. Roux D, Gaudry S, Dreyfuss D, et al. *Candida albicans* impairs macrophage function and facilitates *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* pneumonia in rat. *Crit Care Med* 2009; **37**: 1062–7.
 55. Peleg AY, Tampakakis E, Fuchs BB, Eliopoulos GM, Moellering RC Jr, Mylonakis E. Prokaryote-eukaryote interactions identified by using *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2008; **105**: 14585–90.
 56. Gaddy JA, Tomaras AP, Actis LA. The Acinetobacter baumannii 19606 OmpA protein plays a role in biofilm formation on abiotic surfaces and in the interaction of this pathogen with eukaryotic cells. *Infect Immun* 2009; **77**: 3150–60.
 57. Adam B, Baillie GS, Douglas LJ. Mixed species biofilms of *Candida albicans* and *Staphylococcus epidermidis*. *J Med Microbiol* 2002; **51**: 344–9.
 58. Jabra-Rizk MA, Meiller TF, James CE, Shirliff ME. Effect of farnesol on *Staphylococcus aureus* biofilm formation and antimicrobial susceptibility. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2006; **50**: 1463–9.
 59. Silverman RJ, Nobbs AH, Vickerman MM, Barbour ME, Jenkinson HF. Interaction of *Candida albicans* cell wall Als3 protein with *Streptococcus gordonii* SspB adhesin promotes development of mixed-species communities. *Infect Immun* 2010; **78**: 4644–52.
 60. Jarosz LM, Deng DM, van der Mei HC, Crielgaard W, Krom BP. *Streptococcus mutans* competence-stimulating peptide inhibits *Candida albicans* hypha formation. *Eukaryot Cell* 2009; **8**: 1658–64.
 61. Fitzsimmons N, Berry DR. Inhibition of *Candida albicans* by *Lactobacillus acidophilus*: evidence for the involvement of a peroxidase system. *Microbios* 1994; **80**: 125–33.

62. Wagner RD, Pierson C, Warner T, Dohnalek M, Hilty M, Balish E. Probiotic effects of feeding heat-killed *Lactobacillus acidophilus* and *Lactobacillus casei* to *Candida albicans*-colonized immunodeficient mice. *J Food Prot* 2000; **63**: 638–44.
63. Mason KL, Erb Downward JR, Falkowski NR, Young VB, Kao JY, Huffnagle GB. Interplay between the gastric bacterial microbiota and *Candida albicans* during postantibiotic recolonization and gastritis. *Infect Immun* 2012; **80**: 150–8.
64. Mason KL, Erb Downward JR, Mason KD, et al. *Candida albicans* and bacterial microbiota interactions in the cecum during recolonization following broad-spectrum antibiotic therapy. *Infect Immun* 2012; **80**: 3371–80.
65. Frases S, Chaskes S, Dadachova E, Casadevall A. Induction by *Klebsiella aerogenes* of a melanin-like pigment in *Cryptococcus neoformans*. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2006; **72**: 1542–50.
66. Tampakakis E, Peleg AY, Mylonakis E. Interaction of *Candida albicans* with an intestinal pathogen, *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium. *Eukaryot Cell* 2009; **8**: 732–7.
67. Gale D, Sandoval B. Response of mice to the inoculations of both *Candida albicans* and *Escherichia coli*. I. The enhancement phenomenon. *J Bacteriol* 1957; **73**: 616–24.
68. Brehm-Stecher BF, Johnson EA. Sensitization of *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Escherichia coli* to antibiotics by the sesquiterpenoids nerolidol, farnesol, bisabolol, and apritone. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2003; **47**: 3357–60.
69. Boon C, Deng Y, Wang LH, et al. A novel DSF-like signal from *Burkholderia cenocepacia* interferes with *Candida albicans* morphological transition. *ISME J* 2008; **2**: 27–36.
70. Hogan DA, Vik A, Kolter R. A *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* quorum-sensing molecule influences *Candida albicans* morphology. *Mol Microbiol* 2004; **54**: 1212–23.
71. Mear JB, Kipnis E, Faure E, et al. *Candida albicans* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* interactions: more than an opportunistic criminal association? *Med Mal Infect* 2013; **43**: 146–51.
72. Morales DK, Grahl N, Okegbe C, Dietrich LE, Jacobs NJ, Hogan DA. Control of *Candida albicans* metabolism and biofilm formation by *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* phenazines. *Bio* 2013; **4**: e00526-12.
73. Diaz PI, Xie Z, Sobue T, et al. Synergistic interaction between *Candida albicans* and commensal oral streptococci in a novel in vitro mucosal model. *Infect Immun* 2012; **80**: 620–32.
74. Holmes AR, McNab R, Jenkinson HF. *Candida albicans* binding to the oral bacterium *Streptococcus gordonii* involves multiple adhesin-receptor interactions. *Infect Immun* 1996; **64**: 4680–5.
75. Smith MG, Des Etages SG, Snyder M. Microbial synergy via an ethanol-triggered pathway. *Mol Cell Biol* 2004; **24**: 3874–84.
76. Karczewska E, Wojtas I, Sito E, et al. Assessment of co-existence of *Helicobacter pylori* and *Candida fungi* in diseases of the upper gastrointestinal tract. *J Physiol Pharmacol* 2009; **60**(Suppl. 6): 33–9.
77. Wagner RD, Pierson C, Warner T, et al. Biotherapeutic effects of probiotic bacteria on candidiasis in immunodeficient mice. *Infect Immun* 1997; **65**: 4165–72.
78. Martinez RC, Seney SL, Summers KL, Nomizo A, De Martinis EC, Reid G. Effect of *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* GR-1 and *Lactobacillus reuteri* RC-14 on the ability of *Candida albicans* to infect cells and induce inflammation. *Microbiol Immunol* 2009; **53**: 487–95.
79. Spear GT, Zariffard MR, Cohen MH, Sha BE. Vaginal IL-8 levels are positively associated with *Candida albicans* and inversely with lactobacilli in HIV-infected women. *J Reprod Immunol* 2008; **78**: 76–9.
80. Hummel RP, Oestreich EJ, Maley MP, Macmillan BG. Inhibition of *Candida albicans* by *Escherichia coli* in vitro and in the germfree mouse. *J Surg Res* 1973; **15**: 53–8.
81. Rosenbach A, Dignard D, Pierce JV, Whiteway M, Kumamoto CA. Adaptations of *Candida albicans* for growth in the mammalian intestinal tract. *Eukaryot Cell* 2010; **9**: 1075–86.
82. White SJ, Rosenbach A, Lephart P, et al. Self-regulation of *Candida albicans* population size during GI colonization. *PLoS Pathog* 2007; **3**: e184.
83. Iliiev ID, Funari VA, Taylor KD, et al. Interactions between commensal fungi and the C-type lectin receptor Dectin-1 influence colitis. *Science* 2012; **336**: 1314–7.
84. McFarland LV, Surawicz CM, Greenberg RN, et al. A randomized placebo-controlled trial of *Saccharomyces boulardii* in combination with standard antibiotics for *Clostridium difficile* disease. *JAMA* 1994; **271**: 1913–8.
85. Bennett JW, Klich M. Mycotoxins. *Clin Microbiol Rev* 2003; **16**: 497–516.
86. Chen CJ, Wang LY, Lu SN, et al. Elevated aflatoxin exposure and increased risk of hepatocellular carcinoma. *Hepatology* 1996; **24**: 38–42.
87. Li Z, Cui J, Zhang X, Kang W. Aflatoxin G1 reduces the molecular expression of HLA-I, TAP-1 and LMP-2 of adult esophageal epithelial cells in vitro. *Toxicol Lett* 2010; **195**: 169–73.
88. Kusunoki M, Misumi J, Shimada T, et al. Long-term administration of the fungus toxin, sterigmatocystin, induces intestinal metaplasia and increases the proliferative activity of PCNA, p53, and MDM2 in the gastric mucosa of aged Mongolian gerbils. *Environ Health Prev Med* 2011; **16**: 224–31.
89. Misumi J. The mechanisms of gastric cancer development produced by the combination of *Helicobacter pylori* with Sterigmatocystin, a mycotoxin. *Nihon Rinsho* 2004; **62**: 1377–86.
90. Persson EC, Sewram V, Evans AA, et al. Fumonisin B1 and risk of hepatocellular carcinoma in two Chinese cohorts. *Food Chem Toxicol* 2012; **50**: 679–83.
91. Alizadeh AM, Rohandel G, Roudbarmohammadi S, et al. Fumonisin B1 contamination of cereals and risk of esophageal cancer in a high risk area in northeastern Iran. *Asian Pac J Cancer Prev* 2012; **13**: 2625–8.
92. Pfohl-Leskowicz A, Manderville RA. An update on direct genotoxicity as a molecular mechanism of ochratoxin A carcinogenicity. *Chem Res Toxicol* 2012; **25**: 252–62.
93. Hsia CC, Wu ZY, Li YS, Zhang F, Sun ZT. Nivalenol, a main Fusarium toxin in dietary foods from high-risk areas of cancer of esophagus and gastric cardia in China, induced benign and malignant tumors in mice. *Oncol Rep* 2004; **12**: 449–56.
94. Grenier B, Applegate TJ. Modulation of intestinal functions following mycotoxin ingestion: meta-analysis of published experiments in animals. *Toxins (Basel)* 2013; **5**: 396–430.
95. Pinton P, Braicu C, Nougayrede JP, Laffitte J, Taranu I, Oswald IP. Deoxynivalenol impairs porcine intestinal barrier function and decreases the protein expression of claudin-4 through a mitogen-activated protein kinase-dependent mechanism. *J Nutr* 2010; **140**: 1956–62.
96. Cano PM, Seeboth J, Meurens F, et al. Deoxynivalenol as a new factor in the persistence of intestinal inflammatory diseases: an emerging

- hypothesis through possible modulation of Th17-mediated response. *PLoS ONE* 2013; **8**: e53647.
97. Maresca M, Fantini J. Some food-associated mycotoxins as potential risk factors in humans predisposed to chronic intestinal inflammatory diseases. *Toxicon* 2010; **56**: 282–94.
 98. Maresca M, Yahi N, Younes-Sakr L, Boyron M, Caporiccio B, Fantini J. Both direct and indirect effects account for the pro-inflammatory activity of enteropathogenic mycotoxins on the human intestinal epithelium: stimulation of interleukin-8 secretion, potentiation of interleukin-1beta effect and increase in the transepithelial passage of commensal bacteria. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol* 2008; **228**: 84–92.
 99. Dennehy KM, Brown GD. The role of the beta-glucan receptor Dectin-1 in control of fungal infection. *J Leukoc Biol* 2007; **82**: 253–8.
 100. Ferwerda B, Ferwerda G, Plantinga TS, et al. Human dectin-1 deficiency and mucocutaneous fungal infections. *N Engl J Med* 2009; **361**: 1760–7.
 101. Taylor PR, Tsoni SV, Willment JA, et al. Dectin-1 is required for beta-glucan recognition and control of fungal infection. *Nat Immunol* 2007; **8**: 31–8.
 102. Geijtenbeek TB, Gringhuis SI. Signalling through C-type lectin receptors: shaping immune responses. *Nat Rev Immunol* 2009; **9**: 465–79.
 103. Sokol H, Conway KL, Zhang M, et al. Card9 mediates intestinal epithelial cell restitution, T-helper 17 responses, and control of bacterial infection in mice. *Gastroenterology* 2013; **145**: 591–601.e3.
 104. Saijo S, Fujikado N, Furuta T, et al. Dectin-1 is required for host defense against *Pneumocystis carinii* but not against *Candida albicans*. *Nat Immunol* 2007; **8**: 39–46.
 105. Chai LY, de Boer MG, van der Velden WJ, et al. The Y238X stop codon polymorphism in the human beta-glucan receptor dectin-1 and susceptibility to invasive aspergillosis. *J Infect Dis* 2011; **203**: 736–43.
 106. Plantinga TS, Hamza OJ, Willment JA, et al. Genetic variation of innate immune genes in HIV-infected african patients with or without oropharyngeal candidiasis. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr* 2010; **55**: 87–94.
 107. Plantinga TS, van der Velden WJ, Ferwerda B, et al. Early stop polymorphism in human DECTIN-1 is associated with increased candida colonization in hematopoietic stem cell transplant recipients. *Clin Infect Dis* 2009; **49**: 724–32.
 108. Van der Graaf CA, Netea MG, Morre SA, et al. Toll-like receptor 4 Asp299Gly/Thr399Ile polymorphisms are a risk factor for *Candida* bloodstream infection. *Eur Cytokine Netw* 2006; **17**: 29–34.
 109. Kesh S, Mensah NY, Peterlongo P, et al. TLR1 and TLR6 polymorphisms are associated with susceptibility to invasive aspergillosis after allogeneic stem cell transplantation. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 2005; **1062**: 95–103.
 110. Said-Sadier N, Padilla E, Langsley G, Ojcius DM. *Aspergillus fumigatus* stimulates the NLRP3 inflammasome through a pathway requiring ROS production and the Syk tyrosine kinase. *PLoS ONE* 2010; **5**: e10008.
 111. van de Veerdonk FL, Kullberg BJ, van der Meer JW, Gow NA, Netea MG. Host-microbe interactions: innate pattern recognition of fungal pathogens. *Curr Opin Microbiol* 2008; **11**: 305–12.
 112. Hirota SA, Ng J, Lueng A, et al. NLRP3 inflammasome plays a key role in the regulation of intestinal homeostasis. *Inflamm Bowel Dis* 2011; **17**: 1359–72.
 113. Zaki MH, Boyd KL, Vogel P, Kastan MB, Lamkanfi M, Kanneganti TD. The NLRP3 inflammasome protects against loss of epithelial integrity and mortality during experimental colitis. *Immunity* 2010; **32**: 379–91.
 114. Rehaume LM, Jouault T, Chamailard M. Lessons from the inflammasome: a molecular sentry linking *Candida* and Crohn's disease. *Trends Immunol* 2010; **31**: 171–5.
 115. Couturier-Maillard A, Secher T, Rehman A, et al. NOD2-mediated dysbiosis predisposes mice to transmissible colitis and colorectal cancer. *J Clin Invest* 2013; **123**: 700–11.
 116. Devlin SM, Yang H, Ippoliti A, et al. NOD2 variants and antibody response to microbial antigens in Crohn's disease patients and their unaffected relatives. *Gastroenterology* 2007; **132**: 576–86.
 117. Gock M, Schafer M, Perren A, Demartines N, Clavien PA. Fatal esophageal perforation caused by invasive candidiasis. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2005; **80**: 1120–2.
 118. Zwolinska-Wcislo M, Budak A, Trojanowska D, Bogdal J, Stachura J. Fungal colonization of the stomach and its clinical relevance. *Mycoses* 1998; **41**: 327–34.
 119. Bearse C, Pollock LH. Mycotic infection of the stomach: report of a case with perforation. *Ann Surg* 1936; **104**: 167–74.
 120. Namikawa T, Kitagawa H, Yamatsuji T, Naomoto Y, Kobayashi M, Hanazaki K. Pre-emptive treatment of fungal infection based on plasma beta-D-glucan levels after gastric surgery for gastric cancer in elderly patients. *J Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2013; **28**: 1457–61.
 121. Trier JS, Bjorkman DJ. Esophageal, gastric, and intestinal candidiasis. *Am J Med* 1984; **77**: 39–43.
 122. Lamps LW, Molina CP, West AB, Haggitt RC, Scott MA. The pathologic spectrum of gastrointestinal and hepatic histoplasmosis. *Am J Clin Pathol* 2000; **113**: 64–72.
 123. Rudolph J, Rodenwaldt J, Ruhnke M, Hamm B, Kopka L. Unusual enhancement pattern of liver lesions in hepatosplenic candidiasis. *Acta Radiol* 2004; **45**: 499–503.
 124. Lalwani S, Govindasamy M, Gupta M, et al. Gastrointestinal mucormycosis—four cases with different risk factors, involving different anatomical sites. *Indian J Gastroenterol* 2012; **31**: 139–43.
 125. Lin LN, Zhu Y, Che FB, Gu JL, Chen JH. Invasive fungal infections secondary to acute-on-chronic liver failure: a retrospective study. *Mycoses* 2013; **56**: 429–33.
 126. Playford EG, Webster AC, Sorrell TC, Craig JC. Systematic review and meta-analysis of antifungal agents for preventing fungal infections in liver transplant recipients. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis* 2006; **25**: 549–61.
 127. Rabkin JM, Orolloff SL, Corless CL, et al. Association of fungal infection and increased mortality in liver transplant recipients. *Am J Surg* 2000; **179**: 426–30.
 128. Raghuram A, Restrepo A, Safadjou S, et al. Invasive fungal infections following liver transplantation: incidence, risk factors, survival, and impact of fluconazole-resistant *Candida parapsilosis* (2003–2007). *Liver Transpl* 2012; **18**: 1100–9.
 129. Chen Y, Chen Z, Guo R, et al. Correlation between gastrointestinal fungi and varying degrees of chronic hepatitis B virus infection. *Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis* 2011; **70**: 492–8.
 130. Ouaisi M, Moutardier V, Emungania O, et al. Fatal bowel infarction due to aspergillosis after chemotherapy. *Eur J Surg Oncol* 2003; **29**: 628.
 131. Tie ML, Stephens DH. *Candida jejunitis*: a rare cause of intestinal pneumatosis in the immunocompromised patient. *Australas Radiol* 2000; **44**: 206–7.
 132. Sasaki K. *Candida*-associated gastric ulcer relapsing in a different position

- with a different appearance. *World J Gastroenterol* 2012; **18**: 4450–3.
133. Wu CS, Wu SS, Chen PC. A prospective study of fungal infection of gastric ulcers: clinical significance and correlation with medical treatment. *Gastrointest Endosc* 1995; **42**: 56–8.
 134. Saniee P, Siavoshi F, Nikbakht Broujeni G, Khormali M, Sarrafnejad A, Malekzadeh R. Immunodetection of *Helicobacter pylori*-specific proteins in oral and gastric *Candida* yeasts. *Arch Iranian Med* 2013; **16**: 624–30.
 135. Siavoshi F, Salmanian AH, Akbari F, Malekzadeh R, Massarrat S. Detection of *Helicobacter pylori*-specific genes in the oral yeast. *Helicobacter* 2005; **10**: 318–22.
 136. Malfertheiner P, Megraud F, O'Morain CA, *et al.* Management of *Helicobacter pylori* infection – the Maastricht IV/Florence Consensus Report. *Gut* 2012; **61**: 646–64.
 137. Scanlan PD, Shanahan F, Clune Y, *et al.* Culture-independent analysis of the gut microbiota in colorectal cancer and polyposis. *Environ Microbiol* 2008; **10**: 789–98.
 138. Wang T, Cai G, Qiu Y, *et al.* Structural segregation of gut microbiota between colorectal cancer patients and healthy volunteers. *ISME J* 2012; **6**: 320–9.
 139. Goncalves P, Martel F. Butyrate and colorectal cancer: the role of butyrate transport. *Curr Drug Metab* 2013; **14**: 994–1008.
 140. Turnbaugh PJ, Hamady M, Yatsunenko T, *et al.* A core gut microbiome in obese and lean twins. *Nature* 2009; **457**: 480–4.
 141. Frank DN, St Amand AL, Feldman RA, Boedeker EC, Harpaz N, Pace NR. Molecular-phylogenetic characterization of microbial community imbalances in human inflammatory bowel diseases. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2007; **104**: 13780–5.
 142. Manichanh C, Rigottier-Gois L, Bonnaud E, *et al.* Reduced diversity of faecal microbiota in Crohn's disease revealed by a metagenomic approach. *Gut* 2006; **55**: 205–11.
 143. Sartor RB. Microbial influences in inflammatory bowel diseases. *Gastroenterology* 2008; **134**: 577–94.
 144. Thibault R, Blachier F, Darcy-Vrillon B, de Coppet P, Bourreille A, Segain JP. Butyrate utilization by the colonic mucosa in inflammatory bowel diseases: a transport deficiency. *Inflamm Bowel Dis* 2010; **16**: 684–95.
 145. Standaert-Vitse A, Jouault T, Vandewalle P, *et al.* *Candida albicans* is an immunogen for anti-*Saccharomyces cerevisiae* antibody markers of Crohn's disease. *Gastroenterology* 2006; **130**: 1764–75.
 146. Zwolinska-Wcislo M, Brzozowski T, Budak A, *et al.* Effect of *Candida* colonization on human ulcerative colitis and the healing of inflammatory changes of the colon in the experimental model of colitis ulcerosa. *J Physiol Pharmacol* 2009; **60**: 107–18.
 147. Israeli E, Grotto I, Gilburd B, *et al.* Anti-*Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and antineutrophil cytoplasmic antibodies as predictors of inflammatory bowel disease. *Gut* 2005; **54**: 1232–6.
 148. Quinton JF, Sendid B, Reumaux D, *et al.* Anti-*Saccharomyces cerevisiae* mannan antibodies combined with antineutrophil cytoplasmic autoantibodies in inflammatory bowel disease: prevalence and diagnostic role. *Gut* 1998; **42**: 788–91.
 149. Standaert-Vitse A, Sendid B, Joossens M, *et al.* *Candida albicans* colonization and ASCA in familial Crohn's disease. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2009; **104**: 1745–53.
 150. Hueber W, Sands BE, Lewitzky S, *et al.* Secukinumab, a human anti-IL-17A monoclonal antibody, for moderate to severe Crohn's disease: unexpected results of a randomised, double-blind placebo-controlled trial. *Gut* 2012; **61**: 1693–700.
 151. Colombel JF, Sendid B, Jouault T, Poulain D. Secukinumab failure in Crohn's disease: the yeast connection? *Gut* 2013; **62**: 800–1.
 152. Ksiadzyna D, Semianow-Wejchert J, Nawrot U, Wlodarczyk K, Paradowski L. Serum concentration of interleukin 10, anti-mannan *Candida* antibodies and the fungal colonization of the gastrointestinal tract in patients with ulcerative colitis. *Adv Med Sci* 2009; **54**: 170–6.
 153. Jeffery IB, O'Toole PW, Ohman L, *et al.* An irritable bowel syndrome subtype defined by species-specific alterations in faecal microbiota. *Gut* 2012; **61**: 997–1006.
 154. Spiller R, Garsed K. Postinfectious irritable bowel syndrome. *Gastroenterology* 2009; **136**: 1979–88.
 155. Nahas R. Irritable bowel syndrome: common integrative medicine perspectives. *Chin J Integr Med* 2011; **17**: 410–3.
 156. Middleton SJ, Coley A, Hunter JO. The role of faecal *Candida albicans* in the pathogenesis of food-intolerant irritable bowel syndrome. *Postgrad Med J* 1992; **68**: 453–4.
 157. Marr KA, Carter RA, Crippa F, Wald A, Corey L. Epidemiology and outcome of mould infections in hematopoietic stem cell transplant recipients. *Clin Infect Dis* 2002; **34**: 909–17.
 158. Slavin MA, Sorrell TC, Marriott D, *et al.* Candidaemia in adult cancer patients: risks for fluconazole-resistant isolates and death. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2010; **65**: 1042–51.
 159. Hachem R, Hanna H, Kontoyiannis D, Jiang Y, Raad I. The changing epidemiology of invasive candidiasis: *Candida glabrata* and *Candida krusei* as the leading causes of candidemia in hematologic malignancy. *Cancer* 2008; **112**: 2493–9.
 160. Sabino R, Verissimo C, Brandao J, *et al.* Epidemiology of candidemia in oncology patients: a 6-year survey in a Portuguese central hospital. *Med Mycol* 2010; **48**: 346–54.
 161. Keefe DM, Gibson RJ, Hauer-Jensen M. Gastrointestinal mucositis. *Semin Oncol Nurs* 2004; **20**: 38–47.
 162. Stringer AM, Gibson RJ, Bowen JM, Keefe DM. Chemotherapy-induced modifications to gastrointestinal microflora: evidence and implications of change. *Curr Drug Metab* 2009; **10**: 79–83.
 163. Zwielehner J, Lassl C, Hippe B, *et al.* Changes in human fecal microbiota due to chemotherapy analyzed by TaqMan-PCR, 454 sequencing and PCR-DGGE fingerprinting. *PLoS ONE* 2011; **6**: e28654.
 164. Krause R, Schwab E, Bachhiesl D, *et al.* Role of *Candida* in antibiotic-associated diarrhea. *J Infect Dis* 2001; **184**: 1065–9.
 165. Krause R, Haberl R, Strempl C, *et al.* Intestinal *Candida* phospholipase is not elevated in patients with antibiotic-associated diarrhea. *Scand J Infect Dis* 2002; **34**: 815–6.