

Association Study of Bipolar Disorder With Candidate Genes Involved in Catecholamine Neurotransmission: DRD2, DRD3, DAT1, and TH Genes

Daniel Souery, Olivier Lipp, Brigitte Mahieu, Karin Mendelbaum, Viviane De Martelaer, Christine Van Broeckhoven, and Julien Mendlewicz

Department of Psychiatry, University Clinics of Brussels, Erasme Hospital, Free University of Brussels (D.S., O.L., B.M., K.M., I.M.), Institute of Interdisciplinary Research, Statistical Unit, Free University of Brussels (V.D.M.), Brussels; Laboratory of Neurogenetics, Flemish Institute of Biotechnology, Born Bunge Foundation, Department of Biochemistry, University of Antwerp (C.V.B.), Antwerp, Belgium

Despite strong evidence for genetic involvement in the etiology of affective disorders (from twin adoption and family studies), linkage and association methodologies are still exploring the nature of genetic factors in these diseases. Interesting testable hypotheses have been described, including candidate genes involved in catecholamine neurotransmission. We studied 69 bipolar patients and 69 matched controls (for age, sex, and geographical origin) for association and linkage disequilibrium with DNA markers at the following genes: the tyrosine hydroxylase gene, dopamine transporter gene, and dopamine D2 and D3 receptor genes. Association and linkage disequilibrium were excluded between bipolar affective disorder and these four candidate genes in our sample. © 1996 Wiley-Liss, Inc.

KEY WORDS: bipolar affective disorder, candidate genes, catecholamine neurotransmission, linkage disequilibrium

INTRODUCTION

Implication of the catecholamine neurotransmitter system in the etiopathogenesis of affective disorders (AD) was hypothesized nearly 30 years ago [Schildkraut, 1965]. Evidence in favor of this hypothe-

sis in AD initially relied on the effects of drugs such as tricyclic antidepressants and monoamine oxidase inhibitors on catecholaminergic transmission. These observations were supported by several molecular biological studies in depression and mania [reviewed in Schatzberg and Schildkraut, 1995].

Tyrosine hydroxylase (TH) catalyses the enzymatic conversion of L-tyrosine to L-3,4-dihydroxyphenylalanine (L-dopa), the first and rate-limiting step in the biosynthesis of dopamine (DA), norepinephrine (NE), and epinephrine (E). It has been shown that TH activity differs in NE and DA neurons in the central nervous system [Reis et al., 1974], illustrating the extreme complexity of this pathway. Five different DA receptors have been identified (DRD₁–DRD₅). The dopamine transporter (DAT1) terminates dopaminergic transmission by reuptake of DA into presynaptic terminals. DAT-mediated recapture of released DA is generally thought to be the primary mechanism for limiting the extent, duration, and area of DA receptor activation. The cDNA encoding DAT1 was recently cloned and located on chromosome 5p15.3 [Vandenberg et al., 1992].

Traditional methodologies such as twin, adoption, and family studies have implicated genetic vulnerability factors in the etiology of AD and their various subtypes [Mendlewicz, 1994]. However, from these methods, it is difficult to delineate the type of genetic variables involved and the precise mode of transmission. In searching for underlying genes and their mechanism of action, linkage and allelic association methodologies are being applied to mood disorders, in particular to the bipolar form of the disease (BPAD), considered the “core phenotype” in genetic studies of the AD spectrum.

Candidate genes encoding enzymes and receptors involved in the metabolism of catecholamines have been investigated through linkage and association studies in AD. Chromosome 11 has been the subject of multiple

Received for publication February 26, 1996; revision received June 4, 1996.

Address reprint requests to Daniel Souery, Department of Psychiatry, University Clinics of Brussels, Erasme Hospital, Free University of Brussels, 808 route de Lennik, B-1070 Brussels, Belgium.

linkage studies. This location is of great interest, since the tyrosine hydroxylase (TH) gene is situated on the short arm of chromosome 11 (11p15) [Egeland et al., 1987]. For reasons mentioned above, the TH gene is considered a candidate gene in AD. The majority of linkage studies with the TH gene excluded linkage between this gene and BPAD [Souery et al., 1996b]. However, weak positive lod-scores (below significant threshold) were observed for the TH locus in some pedigrees from different populations [Pakstis et al., 1991; Byerley et al., 1992; Lim et al., 1993; Sidenberg et al., 1994].

A possible role for the TH gene was also examined in case-control designed association studies in BPAD. Some reports described a significant association between BPAD and TH polymorphisms [Leboyer et al., 1990; Kennedy et al., 1993; Cauli et al., 1995; Meloni et al., 1995]. This observation could not be replicated using the same TH polymorphisms, or with other TH polymorphisms in other studies [Todd and O'Malley, 1989; Nöthen et al., 1990; Körner et al., 1990, 1994; Gill et al., 1991; Inayama et al., 1993; Cavazzoni et al., 1995].

Other candidate genes involved in the catecholamine pathway, including D_2 - D_4 dopamine receptors genes (DRD_2 - DRD_4), are located on other regions of chromosome 11 (11q22-23 and 11p15.5, respectively). Almost all recent linkage studies searching for autosomal susceptibility loci in AD excluded linkage with DNA markers located on this chromosome, including the two candidate genes mentioned above [Byerley et al., 1990; Mendlewicz et al., 1991; Holmes et al., 1991; Mitchell et al., 1992; Gejman et al., 1993; Coon et al., 1993; Gerhard et al., 1994; De Bruyn et al., 1994; Detera-Wadleigh et al., 1994; Ewald et al., 1994]. Shinichiro et al. [1994] could not definitely exclude linkage for the DRD_4 locus in four Japanese pedigrees, while they excluded linkage for DRD_2 . A weak positive lod score of 1.16 for this marker was also observed in the Old Order Amish pedigree 00A110 [Sidenberg et al., 1994].

The DRD_3 gene, located on chromosome 3 (3q13.3), was investigated for linkage in BPAD families. Linkage was excluded for this marker in two reports [Shinichiro et al., 1994; Mitchell et al., 1993]. Two BPAD Belgian families were recently investigated for linkage with candidate genes involved in dopaminergic neurotransmission ($DAT1$, DBH , DFD_2 , DRD_3 , and DRD_5) [De Bruyn et al., 1996]. No evidence of linkage was found in these families for these markers.

Some of these candidate genes were also investigated in association studies. Lack of association was observed between the DRD_2 gene and BPAD [Craddock et al., 1995]. This was also the case for DRD_3 on chromosome 3 in two studies [Shaikh et al., 1993; Rietschel et al., 1993]. A statistically significant association of allele 1 of DRD_3 with BPAD was found using the transmitted vs. nontransmitted allele relative-risk method in one study [Parsian et al., 1995]. In the same report, lack of association with the same marker was observed using the case-control design.

From these numerous reports, it is difficult to specify the exact implication of these genetic markers in the etiology of AD. Inconclusive results with both linkage

and association methodologies, as well as some preliminary positive findings, may once again be partially explained by genetic heterogeneity. It is then of interest to further investigate genetic markers of the metabolism of catecholamines in AD, with special attention to the possible bias encountered in association and linkage methodologies.

In the present study, we investigated the possible role in BPAD of four candidate genetic markers, all involved in catecholamine neurotransmission. An association study, using a case-control design, was conducted with simple tandem repeat polymorphisms in the genes coding for TH, $DAT1$, DRD_2 , and DRD_3 between BPAD patients and normal controls. Special attention was devoted to stratification bias. Patients and controls were carefully matched for age, sex, and geographical origin.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Subjects

Sixty-nine unrelated BPAD patients (34 females and 35 males) and 69 healthy controls (34 females and 35 males) were randomly selected from a larger sample of 95 BPAD patients and 145 controls recruited at Erasme Hospital for genetic studies. The 69 patients and 69 controls included in the present study were carefully matched pairwise for sex and geographical origin, leading to 69 patient-control pairs. The mean age difference between patients (46.17 ± 14.9) and controls (47.48 ± 14.7) was not significantly different ($P = 0.606$). For the patient group, mean age-at-onset was 28.19 (SD, 11.02) years. The mean for number of affective episodes was 3.07 (SD, 2.88) depressive episodes, and 3.35 (SD, 3.90) manic or hypomanic episodes.

The patients were ascertained from admissions to the in- or outpatient units of the Department of Psychiatry of Erasme Hospital and from families included in previous linkage studies (probands only). The controls were recruited from among the staff of the department and friends, and via an announcement in hospital.

Subjects were interviewed using the Schedule for Affective Disorders and Schizophrenia-Lifetime Versions (SADS-LA). Diagnosis was established according to Research Diagnostic Criteria (RDC). All patients met the diagnosis of BPAD. Within the control group, subjects with a positive personal and family history (assessed by the Family History-Research Diagnostic Criteria) of minor or major affective disorder were not included.

DNA Analysis

Genomic DNA was isolated from heparinized blood using a standard phenol-chloroform extraction method. An automated DNA synthesiser was used to generate primers for the four polymorphisms tested. Table I gives information on these polymorphisms.

Standard polymerase chain reaction (PCR) was done in a 25- μ l volume containing 100 ng genomic DNA, with 200 μ M each of dATP, dCTP, dTTT, dGTP, and 30 pmol of each primer. One primer was end-labelled before PCR with T4 polynucleotide kinase and [γ - 32 P] ATP. The mixture was denatured at 94°C for 5 min, followed by 22 cycles consisting of denaturation at 94°C for 1 min, annealing at appropriate temperature for 1.5 min, and

TABLE I. Candidate Genes Analyzed

Gene	Localization	Polymorphism (Restriction Enzyme)	Reference
DRD2	11q22-q23	(CA) _n	Hauge et al., 1991
DRD3	3q13.3	RFLP (<i>BalI</i>)	Lannfelt et al., 1992
TH	11p15	(TCAT) _n	Polymeropoulos et al., 1991
DAT1	5p15.3	VNTR	Vandenbergh et al., 1992

extension at 72°C for 1 min. The final elongation step was performed at 72°C for 5 min. The polymorphisms at DRD2, TH, and DAT1 were detected by separating the PCR products on a polyacrylamide gel and exposure to X-ray film. The restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP) at DRD3 was detected by digestion with *MscI* (isoschizomer, *BalI*) following nonradioactive PCR. Fragments were visualized by ethidium bromide staining after 3% agarose gel electrophoresis.

Data Analysis

The analysis of allelic association consisted of comparison of allele and genotype frequencies for the four markers investigated between patients and controls.

TABLE II. Allele Frequencies, Genotype Counts, and Homozygote-Heterozygote Distribution for TH Polymorphism in BPAD Patients and Control Subjects†

	Controls (n = 67)	BPAD (n = 66)
Alleles*		
1	45 (33.6)	34 (25.8)
2	17 (12.7)	27 (20.5)
3	16 (12)	17 (12.9)
4	15 (11.1)	25 (18.9)
5	41 (30.6)	29 (22)
Genotypes**		
1-1	9 (13.4)	6 (9.1)
1-2	5 (7.5)	7 (10.6)
1-3	4 (5.9)	1 (1.5)
1-4	7 (10.4)	3 (4.5)
1-5	12 (17.9)	11 (16.6)
2-2	0 (0)	3 (4.5)
2-3	1 (1.4)	5 (7.6)
2-4	3 (4.5)	5 (7.6)
2-5	7 (10.4)	4 (6.0)
3-3	1 (1.4)	0 (0)
3-4	0 (0)	5 (7.6)
3-5	8 (11.9)	6 (9.1)
4-4	1 (1.4)	3 (4.5)
4-5	3 (4.5)	6 (9.1)
5-5	6 (8.9)	1 (1.5)
Homozygote-heterozygote***		
Homozygote	17 (25.4)	13 (19.7)
Heterozygote	50 (74.6)	53 (80.3)

† Frequencies expressed in percentages in parentheses for alleles, genotypes, and homozygote-heterozygotes distribution. Number for each allele represents total number of times allele is observed ($n \times 2$).
* $P = 0.063$.

** $P = 0.057$.

*** $P = 0.434$.

Homozygote-heterozygote distribution was also evaluated. Chi-square statistics were applied. The EH (estimate haplotype) frequencies program for case-control association studies [Terwilliger and Ott, 1994] was also applied for each marker. Allele and haplotype frequencies between disease and marker alleles were calculated by the EH program under specified parameters for disease-gene frequency (0.02), phenocopy rate (0.01), and penetrance (0.80). Deviation from linkage equilibrium between alleles at disease and marker loci was quantified from chi-square values calculated by the program.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Tables II-V display the allele and genotype frequencies as well as the homozygote-heterozygote distribution for TH, DAT1, DRD₂, and DRD₃ polymorphisms in patients and controls. The total number of subjects analyzed differs for each marker according to available genetic information. No significant differences were observed between BPAD patients and controls for DAT1, DRD₂, DRD₃, and TH polymorphisms regarding allele frequencies, genotype frequencies, and homozygote-heterozygote distributions. No evidence of linkage disequilibrium between disease and the four marker alleles was found when the EH was program applied ($P > 0.05$ for TH, DAT1, DRD₂, and DRD₃).

In this sample of 69 BPAD patients and 69 controls matched pairwise for sex and geographical origin, no association was found between BPAD and four candidate genes coding for receptors and enzymes involved in catecholamine neurotransmission. These results indicate that, in our sample, DRD₂ and DRD₃ receptor genes, as well as DAT1 and TH genes, are not directly implicated in the etiology of BPAD.

As reviewed in the Introduction, all of the association studies with DRD₂ and DRD₃ receptors genes in BPAD were negative and are in agreement with our findings. Except for a few studies, all linkage reports excluded

TABLE III. Allele Frequencies, Genotype Counts, and Homozygote-Heterozygote Distribution for DAT1 Polymorphism in BPAD Patients and Control Subjects†

	Controls (n = 48)	BPAD (n = 54)
Allele*		
1	0 (0)	2 (1.9)
2	72 (75)	73 (67.6)
3	24 (25)	33 (30.5)
Genotypes**		
1-1	0 (0)	0 (0)
1-2	0 (0)	1 (1.9)
1-3	0 (0)	1 (1.9)
2-2	27 (56.3)	24 (44.4)
2-3	18 (37.5)	24 (44.4)
3-3	3 (6.2)	4 (7.4)
Homozygote-Heterozygote***		
Homozygote	30 (62.5)	28 (51.8)
Heterozygote	18 (37.5)	26 (48.2)

† Frequencies expressed in percentages in parentheses for alleles, genotypes, and homozygote-heterozygote distribution. Number for each allele represents total number of times allele is observed ($n \times 2$).

* $P = 0.255$.

** $P = 0.586$.

*** $P = 0.278$.

TABLE IV. Allele Frequencies, Genotype Counts, and Homozygote-Heterozygote Distribution for DRD2 Polymorphism in BPAD Patients and Control Subjects†

	Controls (n = 67)	BPAD (n = 69)
Allele*		
1	1 (0.7)	0 (0)
2	25 (18.7)	28 (20.3)
3	83 (61.9)	81 (58.7)
4	15 (11.2)	11 (8.0)
5	10 (7.5)	18 (13.0)
Genotypes**		
1-3	1 (1.5)	0 (0)
2-2	4 (6)	3 (4)
2-3	13 (19.4)	18 (26)
2-4	2 (3)	1 (1)
2-5	2 (3)	3 (4)
3-3	26 (39)	21 (30)
3-4	9 (13)	9 (13)
3-5	8 (12)	12 (17)
4-4	2 (3)	0 (0)
4-5	0 (0)	1 (1)
5-5	0 (0)	1 (1)
Homozygote-heterozygote***		
Homozygote	32 (47.8)	25 (36.2)
Heterozygote	35 (52.2)	44 (63.8)

† Frequencies expressed in percentages in parentheses for alleles, genotypes, and homozygote-heterozygote distribution. Number for each allele represents total number of times allele is observed ($n \times 2$).
* $P = 0.401$.

** $P = 0.650$.

*** $P = 0.173$.

linkage with these markers in BPAD families. A possible explanation for these findings could be that these genes act as genetic susceptibility genes for BPAD in limited samples of patients and families (genetic heterogeneity). In this context, and given genetic heterogeneity, association studies have more power than linkage studies to detect predisposing genes and may be the best way to identify the genes contributing to psychiatric disorders [Crowe, 1993; Comings, 1994]. This effect has been confirmed by simulation studies, showing that once the number of genes involved exceeds 4–6,

TABLE V. Allele Frequencies, Genotype Counts, and Homozygote-Heterozygote Distribution for DRD3 Polymorphism in BPAD Patients and Control Subjects†

	Controls (n = 62)	BPAD (n = 62)
Allele*		
1	90 (72.6)	81 (65.3)
2	34 (27.4)	43 (34.7)
Genotypes**		
1-1	36 (58)	27 (44)
1-2	18 (29)	27 (44)
2-2	8 (13)	8 (13)
Homozygote-Heterozygote***		
Homozygote	44 (71)	35 (56.5)
Heterozygote	18 (29)	27 (43.5)

† Frequencies expressed in percentages in parentheses for alleles, genotypes, and homozygote-heterozygotes distribution. Number for each allele represents total number of times allele is observed ($n \times 2$).
* $P = 0.217$.

** $P = 0.214$.

*** $P = 0.093$.

the linkage approach has a very limited power compared to association studies [Propping et al., 1993]. One should also consider phenotypic (clinical) heterogeneity when studying genetic factors in AD. Indeed, candidate genes such as the ones mentioned above may play a role only in particular subgroups of patients. Phenotypic definition and clinical characteristics may then have important implications for association and linkage studies in AD. Recent findings in schizophrenia [Nanko and Hattori, 1995; Shaikh et al., 1995] and major psychosis [Serreti et al., 1995] suggest that for the severe form of the disease, response to atypical antipsychotic (clozapine) or clinical factors such as mania, delusion, depression, and disorganization appeared to be more relevant than diagnosis for detecting genetic factors, including DA receptors genes. This methodology (using different clinical parameters) should be applied to large samples of BPAD patients with candidate genes of DA neurotransmission in order to formally exclude their implication in the disease.

Association and linkage studies with the TH gene in AD provide more encouraging data. In our study, the only suggestive trend towards association was observed with the TH gene. Weak positive lod scores have been observed with this marker in some families [Pakstis et al., 1991; Lim et al., 1993; Byerley et al., 1992; Sidenberg et al., 1994]. These observations, as well as some positive association findings with TH in BPAD [Leboyer et al., 1990; Kennedy et al., 1993; Cauli et al., 1995; Meloni et al., 1995] and UPAD [Souery et al., 1996a], constitute enough evidence to suggest the implication of this gene in AD. Negative findings may be explained by genetic heterogeneity or the presence of phenotypic diversity.

In conclusion, our association data provide additional evidence against a possible implication of DAT1, DRD₂, DRD₃, and TH in BPAD. Linkage disequilibrium was excluded between BPAD and these four genetic markers. However, these candidate genes should be further investigated in AD using larger samples and phenotypic models in parametric and nonparametric methodologies. It is expected that significant advances in finding the hereditary causes of major psychosis will arise from large multicenter projects.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the Association for Mental Health Research, a European Community Biomed Grant (CT 92-1217), the National Foundation for Scientific Research, and a Concerted Action of the Flemish Ministry of Education. The authors thank Geert Raes and An De Bruyn for valuable help with DNA analyses.

REFERENCES

- Byerley W, Leppert M, O'Connell P (1990): D₂ dopamine receptor gene not linked to manic-depression in three families. *Psychiatr Genet* 1:55–62.
- Byerley W, Plaecke R, Hoff M (1992): Tyrosine hydroxylase gene not linked to manic-depression in seven of eight pedigrees. *Hum Hered* 42:259–263.
- Cauli G, Macciardi F, Verga M, et al. (1995): TH and D4 as candidate genes for bipolar disorder. *Psychiatr Genet* 5:94.

- Cavazzoni P, Alda M, Turecki G, et al. (1995): Lithium-responsive affective disorders: No association with the tyrosine hydroxylase gene. *Psychiatr Genet* 5:94.
- Comings DE (1994): Candidate genes and association studies in psychiatry. *Am J Med Genet* 54:324.
- Coon H, Jensen S, Hoff M, et al. (1993): A genome-wide search for genes predisposing to manic-depression, assuming autosomal dominant inheritance. *Am J Hum Genet* 52:1234-1249.
- Crowe RR (1993): Candidate genes in psychiatry: An epidemiological perspective. *Am J Med Genet* 48:74-77.
- Craddock N, Roberts Q, Williams N, et al. (1995): Association study of bipolar disorder using a functional polymorphism (Ser311→Cys) in the dopamine D2 receptor gene. *Psychiatr Genet* 5:63-65.
- De Bruyn A, Mendelbaum K, Sandkuijl LA, et al. (1994): Nonlinkage of bipolar illness to tyrosine hydroxylase, tyrosinase, and D₂ and D₄ dopamine receptor genes on chromosome 11. *Am J Psychiatry* 151:102-106.
- De Bruyn A, Souery D, Mendelbaum K, et al. (1996): A linkage study between bipolar disorder and genes involved in dopaminergic and GABAergic neurotransmission. *Psychiatr Genet* (in press).
- Detera-Wadleigh SD, Hsieh WT, Berrettini WH, et al. (1994): Genetic linkage mapping for a susceptibility locus to bipolar illness: Chromosome 2, 3, 4, 7, 9, 10p, 11p, 22, and Xpter. *Am J Med Genet* 54:206-218.
- Egeland JA, Gerhard DS, Paul DC, et al. (1987): Bipolar affective disorder linked to DNA markers on chromosome 11. *Nature* 325:783-787.
- Ewald H, Mors O, Friedrich U, et al. (1994): Exclusion of linkage between manic depressive illness and tyrosine hydroxylase and dopamine D₂ receptor genes. *Psychiatr Genet* 4:13-22.
- Gejman PV, Martinez M, Cao O, et al. (1993): Linkage analysis of fifty-seven microsatellite loci to bipolar disorder. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 9:31-40.
- Gerhard DS, LaBuda MC, Bland SD, et al. (1994): Initial report of a genome search for the affective disorder predisposition gene in the Old Amish pedigrees: Chromosomes 1 and 11. *Am J Med Genet* 54:398-404.
- Gill M, Castle D, Hunt N, Clements A, et al. (1991): Tyrosine hydroxylase polymorphisms and bipolar affective disorder. *J Psychiatr Res* 25:179-184.
- Hauge XY, Grandy DK, Eubanks JH, et al. (1991): Detection and characterization of additional DNA polymorphisms in the dopamine D2 receptor gene. *Genomics* 10:527-530.
- Holmes D, Brynjolfsson J, Brett P (1991): No evidence for a susceptibility locus predisposing to manic depression in the region of the dopamine (D₂) receptor gene. *Br J Psychiatry* 158:635-641.
- Inayama Y, Yoneda H, Sakai T, et al. (1993): Lack of association between bipolar affective disorder and tyrosine hydroxylase DNA marker. *Am J Med Genet* 48:87-89.
- Kennedy JL, Sidenberg DG, Macciardi FM, et al. (1993): Genetic association study of tyrosine hydroxylase and D₄ dopamine receptor variants in bipolar I patients. *Psychiatr Genet* 3:120.
- Körner J, Fritz J, Propping P (1990): RFLP alleles at the tyrosine hydroxylase locus: No association found to affective disorders. *Psychiatr Res* 32:275-280.
- Körner J, Rietschel M, Hunt N, et al. (1994): Association and haplotype analysis at the tyrosine hydroxylase locus in a combined German-British sample of manic depressive patients and controls. *Psychiatr Genet* 4:167-175.
- Lannfelt L, Sokoloff P, Martres M-P, et al. (1992): Amino-acid substitution in the dopamine D3 receptor as a useful polymorphism for investigating psychiatric disorders. *Psychiatr Genet* 2:249-256.
- Leboyer M, Malafosse A, Boularand S, et al. (1990): Tyrosine hydroxylase polymorphism associated with manic depressive illness. *Lancet* 335:1219.
- Lim LCC, Gurling H, Curtis D, et al. (1993): Linkage between tyrosine hydroxylase gene and affective disorder cannot be excluded in two of six pedigrees. *Am J Med Genet* 48:223-228.
- Meloni R, Leboyer M, Campion D, et al. (1995): Association of manic depressive illness with the TH locus using a microsatellite marker localized in the tyrosine hydroxylase gene. *Lancet* 345:932.
- Mendlewicz J (1994): The search for a manic depressive gene: From classical to molecular genetics. *Neuroscience from the molecular to the cognitive. Prog Brain Res* 100:225-259.
- Mendlewicz J, Leboyer M, De Bruyn A, et al. (1991): Absence of linkage between chromosome 11p15 markers and manic-depressive illness in a Belgian pedigree. *Am J Psychiatry* 148:1683-1687.
- Mitchell P, Selbie L, Waters B (1992): Exclusion of close linkage of bipolar disorder to Dopamine D₁ and D₂ receptor gene markers. *J Affective Disord* 25:1-12.
- Mitchell P, Waters B, Vivero C, et al. (1993): Exclusion of close linkage of bipolar disorder to the dopamine D₃ receptor gene in nine Australian pedigrees. *J Affective Disord* 27:213-224.
- Nanko S, Hattori M (1995): Association of neurotrophin-3 gene variant with severe forms of schizophrenia. *Psychiatr Genet* 5:61.
- Nöthen MM, Körner J, Lanczik M, et al. (1990): Tyrosine hydroxylase polymorphism and manic depressive illness. *Lancet* 336:575.
- Pakstis AJ, Kidd JR, Castiglione CM, et al. (1991): Status of the search for a major genetic locus for affective disorder in the Old Order Amish. *Fam Genet* 87:475-783.
- Parsian A, Chakraverty S, Todd RD (1995): Possible association between the dopamine D3 receptor gene and bipolar affective disorder. *Am J Med Genet* 60:234-237.
- Polymeropoulos MH, Xiao H, Rath DS, Merrill CR (1991): Tetranucleotide repeat polymorphism at the human tyrosine hydroxylase gene (TH). *Nucleic Acids Res* 19:3753.
- Propping P, Nöthen MM, Fimmers R, et al. (1993): Linkage versus association studies in complex diseases. *Psychiatr Genet* 3:136.
- Reis DJ, Joh TH, Ross RA, Pickel VM (1974): Reserpine selectively increases tyrosine hydroxylase and dopamine-β-hydroxylase enzyme protein in central noradrenergic neurons. *Brain Res* 81:380-386.
- Rietschel M, Nöthen MM, Lannfelt L, et al. (1993): A serine to glycine substitution at position 9 in the extracellular N-terminal part of the dopamine D₃ receptor protein: No role in the genetic predisposition to bipolar affective disorder. *Psychiatr Res* 46:253-259.
- Schatzberg AF, Schildkraut JJ (1995): Recent studies on norepinephrine systems in mood disorders. In Bloom FE, Kupfer DJ (eds): "Psychopharmacology, the Fourth Generation of Progress." New York: Raven Press, pp 911-920.
- Schildkraut JJ (1965): The catecholamine hypothesis in affective disorders: A review of supporting evidence. *Am J Psychiatry* 122:509-522.
- Serreti A, Maciardi F, Verga M, Smeraldi E (1995): Association between DRD4 exon 1 and 3 variants and symptomatologic structure of major psychosis. *Psychiatr Genet* 5:63.
- Shaikh S, Ball D, Craddock N (1993): The dopamine D₃ receptor gene: No association with bipolar affective disorder. *J Med Genet* 30:308-309.
- Shaikh S, Collier DA, Crocq M-A, Kerwin R (1995): An association between the DRD2 ser311→cys variant and response to clozapine. *Psychiatr Genet* 5:64.
- Shinichiro N, Rimmei F, Mineko H, et al. (1994): Linkage studies between affective disorder and dopamine D₂, D₃, D₄ receptor gene loci in four Japanese pedigrees. *Psychiatr Res* 52:149-157.
- Sidenberg DG, King N, Kennedy JL (1994): Analysis of new D₄ dopamine receptor (DRD4) coding region variants and TH microsatellite in the Old Order Amish family (00A110). *Psychiatr Genet* 4:95-99.
- Souery D, Lipp O, Mahieu B, et al. (1996a): Excess TH RFLP homozygosity in unipolar but not bipolar patients: A preliminary report. *Biol Psychiatry* (in press).
- Souery D, Papadimitriou GN, Mendlewicz J (1996b): New genetic approaches in affective disorders. *Baillieres Clin Psychiatry Int Pract Res Bailliere Tindall, London. Vol. 2, No 1, February 1996. pp 1-13.*
- Terwilliger JD, Ott J (1994): Linkage disequilibrium and disease loci. In: "Columbia University: Handbook of Human Genetic Linkage." Baltimore and London: Johns Hopkins University Press pp 199-210.
- Todd R, O'Malley K (1989): Population frequencies of tyrosine hydroxylase RFLP in bipolar affective disorders. *Biol Psychiatry* 25:626-630.
- Vandenbergh DJ, Persico AM, Hawkins AL, Griffin CA, Li X, Jabs EW, Uhl GR (1992): Human dopamine transporter gene (DAT1) maps to chromosome 5p15.3 and displays a VNTR. *Genomics* 14:1104-1106.